

ECF - Mr. Willenbrand

August 2, 1962

BTF - J.C. Ausland

Gei Ph

Briefing for President on Marlin

I attach a revised droft briefing for the President of Seria, which takes into account the various comments I have received. Subject to any changes you would like made, I propose to use it for the preliminary presentation for the Secretary tomorrow. I will then further revise the script, in the light of his comments.

co: White Toure - Hr. Klein

JCD - Col. Spragins

ISA - Capt. Cotton

Waite House - Col. Legere

| DEPARTMENT OF | STATE | IS/FPC/CDR | Date: 1 29 93 |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| RELEASE () EXCISE | () DECLASSIFY () DECLASSIFY | MR Cases Only: EO Citations | |
| () DENY () DELETE Non FOIA Exemptions | IN PART -Responsive Info | () CLASSIFY as | TS authority to: |
| PA Exemptions | | () DOWNGRADE TS | () S or () C OADR to () S or () C OADR |

BTF:EUR:GER:JCAuslend:agc 8/2/62

STORET Attachment

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Z : 5820/52

Copy No 2 of copies consisting of pages.

BERLIN CONTINGENTY PLANNING

During the past year, the United States and its allies have devoted considerable time and effort to contingency planning for Berlin. The Berlin Task Force has prepared an inventory of this planning, with a view to determining what has been accomplished and what remains to be done.

This briefing is designed to review the results of this inventory. In doing this, we shall use the four phase framework set forth in NSAM 109 (or Pondle Clanket).

I shall begin by reviewing in general terms the four phases. I shall then examine each of the phases in more detail. This examination will describe the political military, economic, and covert actions which might occur in each phase. The description will include an account of alied planning, the extent of Allied agreement, and the degree to which pover...

Following this review of the four phases, I should like the require briefly the Allied organizational arrangement for Berlin Planting and operations.

Finally, I shall review briefly some of the major gaps which remain in our planning.

SECRET

1:2020/2



~ 2 -

SLIDE 1

| l dubambamana adbh anna | | |
|---|--|--|
| Soviet/GDK interference with access. | | |
| Significant blockage of access. Diplomatic activity. Non-combatant. NATO Military build-up. Economic and naval countermeasures. | | |
| Non-nuclear ground and/or air action to restore access, supplemented by naval measures. | | |
| Nuclear weapons. | | |
| ŧ | | |

FOUR PHASES

National Security Action Memorandum 109 divides a developing Berlin crisis into four possible phases:

Phase I. During which Soviet/GDR interference with access is short of a significant blockage of access to Berlin.

Phase II. After there is a significant blockage of access, such as a tion go of civilian ground access to Berlin. This non-combatant phase would a maracterized primarily by intense diplomatic activity, a NATO whitely mobilization, and economic and naval countermersures.

Phase III. During which substantial blockage of access continues.

The dominant event in this phase would be the use of force, which could include non-nuclear ground and/or air action in Law. Commany and/or Easter,

Europe. There could be supplemented by word-inde naval measures. The

purpose would be to induce the Soviets to restore access.

F770069-1983

SECRET'

These IV. Would take place only after non-nuclear action had failed to restore access. The dominant event in this phase would be the use of nuclear weapons.

I should like to emphasize at this point that this is a conceptual framework, which indicates the order in which we would prefer events to ensure. It is not an attempt to predict how history will unroll. I might also mention that we have no idea of rushing from one phase to another. Our aim rather would be to stabilize the situation as early in the scenario as possible and work out an acceptable arrangement on Berlin with the Soviets.

The four phases have now been examined quadripartitely in some detail.

I believe it is safe to say that there is considerable agreement on them.

The differences regarding some of the details will emerge in the course of the briefing.

I shou'd now like to examine the four plases in more detail.

Pilasy I

During Phase I. Allied vitil intercase remain substantially intact but are actively inallenged by the Soviets and/or the GDR. I should say that we are at present in this phase. We will remain in it until some means of access to Icrim is intermipted or until an agreement of some sort on Bellin is reached.

The US goal during this phase is to maintain its vital interests and to seek an agreement on Berlin with the Soviet Union.

Ine bulk _ Allied planning has been devoted to this stage. There is general Allied agreement on measures to be taken in this stage, particularly

F770009-1984

- 4 -

exception is addited to navai countermeasures. We, France, and Germany believe that it might be suitable to use naval measures not involving use of force to supplement direct responses to Soviet/GDR harassment of access. The UC, although agreeing to plan quadripartite naval measures, does not believe they should be used before Phase II.

Now I should like to describe the events which might occur in Phase I in more detail.

SLIDE 2

Phase I

SLIDE 2

- 1. <u>Diplomatic</u>. Discussion at Foreign Ministers and Ambassadorial level. Ad Boc action on specific problems.
- ". Millow. LYVE CAK. Air Access JACK PINE. Ground Access FREE STYLE. Maval measures.
- 5. Fractic. TTD restrictions (now in effect). Limited selective embarge Transl restrictions against blue.
- -. Covert. Preparation.
- Diplomatic action. This current phase as phase II is dominated ... diplomatic activity. Although a summit conference is not excluded, the effort to reach agreement is pursued primarily at the Foreign Minister and Ambassadorial level. As specific problems aring, a resolution is pursued the appropriate level. This might be the Commandant in Berlin (as in the case of sector border incidents) or the oreign Ministers in Geneva (as in the case of the air corridors in March).
 - 2. Military Action. In 1959, the US, UK, and France set up a tripersite



- 5 -

staff in Paris known as LIVE OAK, under the command of OSCINCEUR. Within the fram work of LIVE OAK plans, the Allies have made officery preparations to deal with possible interferences with Allied access to Berlin.

Considerable tripartite planning has been done to preserve air access to Berlin, for the most part within the framework of the JACK PINE plans.

This planning tecludes provision for dealing with Soviet/GDR efforts to threaten or interfere with civil flights. In event civil flights cease, there is provision to continue flying civil aircraft with military crews. Should the Soviets damage, shout down, or force down and destroy an aircraft, there is provision for the use of fighter protection. There is also provision for flights over 10,000 feet in certain circumstances. Within the framework of the JACK PINE plans, the US and UK governments -- but not the French -- have delegated certain authority to General Norstad. This authority extends in
atallations.

There has also been planning to deal with interforence with grown access. Since the and of the blockade in 1949, both German and Allied access nevel been subjected to intermittent but frequent harassment. Machoda of dealing with minor harassment have been developed informally. They are characterized by patient resistance and negotiation of each incident until the incident is resolved.

Recent formal planning concerns more serious forms of interference, bordering on ble lage. LIVE OAK has submitted to governments proposals for rules of conduct for Allied convoys in event they encounter unacceptable harassment.

The US has approved these proposals for US convoys, pending Allied



- 6 -

agreement, which we expect to reach in the near future. These rules of conduct and the delegation of authority under the JACK PING air access plan constitute the extent of advance commitments by the US Government regarding precisely what we will do in various contingencies on Berlin.

If Allied autobahn access appears to be blocked, LIVE OAK plans provide for several alternative tripartite military probes, known as FREE CYLE. These range from a few vehicles to platoon size. Governments have, however, not delegated advance authority to employ any of these probes.

Planning has been done to deal with German access, largely through application of commensures.

The US has suggested -- and the French and Germans have agreed -- that the Allies might use naval measures not involving the use of force to counter serious interference with access. The British have, however, thus for resisted the concept of using naval measures prior to Phase II.

3. Economic. The nearly complete ban on issuance of responsing flavoid Documents to GDR residents, but into effect in September, 1971, continues.

Although there would be advantages to further relaxing the band on the color bands able to use it again, on balance we have thought it best not to lift at, in order to bring pressure to bear on the GDR to relax restrictions on travel to East Perlin. Quadriportite agreement has been resched on mildly restricting bloc travel to the what it access to Foot Borlin is denied the Allies. Tentative agreement has been reached with the Germans and French to take selective economic countermeasures, if persistent harassment of access to West Berlin occurs. The British reserve their occurs and from the bloc in a region agreed in principle to cut off air traffic to and from the bloc in a region

776000 1001

- 7 -

incident of physical interference with an Allied airliner occurs in the corridors.

REMOVE SLIDE 2

It is not possible to prodict how long Phase I will last. By definition, it will end when it becomes clear that the Soviets/GDR are prepared to use force to maintain a significant blockage of access to Berlin or until a viable agreement is reached on Berlin. The Soviets have given every indication thus far of preferring to minimize their risks and avoid a step which seriously challenges Allied vital interests. There is no guarantee, however, that this will continue.

There have been indications recently that the Soviets may be planning to sign their long-heralded treaty with the GDR. If they do, the scenario would be significantly altered. I should like, therefore, to examine this continuously briafly.

Allies planning for a peace treaty, which is now once again under review, has been based on two assumptions. First, that while we should seek to discount the Soviets from signing a treaty, in the last analysis we probably counce prevent this step without resort to force and, second, that we are prepared to acquiesce in Soviet withdrawal from the checkpoints and accept under protest GDR processing of Allied traffic under the currently escalaished procedures.

These assumptions set the limits for Allier reaction to any Soviet move to sign a peace treaty. Prior to any peace conference called by the Soviets, we would propose to take actions designed to deter the Soviets from community, a treaty, especially one which would infringe our vital interests. At the same

time, we would not want to engage our prestige too heavily on the signature of t treaty itself. Our actions after the treaty would depend on our estimate of the possible affect of the implementation of its provisions. They would be directed toward detering the Soviets/GDR for taking step; which could lead to infringement of our vital interests with respect to Berlin.

If the Soviets decide to constude a treaty, we would expect the process to stretch over a matter of months, rather than days. We would also expect the Soviets to proceed cautiously, in order to elevate Allied reactions and thus minimize their risks.

A peace treaty would undoubtedly precipitate a crisis atmosphere.

Nevertheless, given careful handling and determination, it should prove manageable. It is, however, always possible that -- either intentionally or through miscalculation -- S. viet/GDR action will result in infringement of our vital interests, such as a significant blockage of access to Berlin.

In this case, we would find cursaives in Phase II.

problem without the use of force. The prestige of both sides would be heavily engaged, and tensions would be running high. There would be strong pressures from all sides to take concessions to the Soviets, in order to avoid the risk of war.

The Allied goal during Phase II wild be to employ non-combatant measures to restore their vital interests.

SECRET



. 9 .

The Soviete: In the other hard would probably aim at negotiations without access restored, on the assumption that this situation would exert maximum pressure on the Allied to make concessions.

It has become evident in our quadripartite discussions that the US, UK, France, and Germany are in general agreement as to the preferred configuration of Phase II. Particularly as we have examined the alternatives available in Phase III and IV, it has been agreed in principle that we should be prepared to use all measures short of force to reach a settlement in Phase II.

SLIDE 3

PHASE II

SLIDE 3

- . <u>Diplometra.</u> Security Council. Warnings to Soviets and satellites.

 Avoid Sormal conference without access.
- Military, NATO build-up. Air Access JACK PINE. Ground access airlift and stockpile. Neval measures.
- .. Economic. Countermeasures depend on extent of blockage.
- '. lovert. Passive resistance.

^{1.} Diplomatic action. During this phase, diplomatic activity would continue to dominate events. At an early point, we would probably find ourselves in the Security Council if not on our initiative on another country's. Plans have been property, for example, to go to the Security Council as soon as Soviet activity in the air corridors requires

- 10 -

the introduction of fighters.

We would at this point probably want to make unpublicated warnings to the Soviets, as well as the satellites.

We would, however, probably want to avoid a formal conference until the Soviets indicate a willingness to restore access.

This diplomatic activity would be conducted against a background fo mounting pressure.

2. Hilitary Action. To make clear to the Soviets our determination and prepare for the possible failure of our combination of diplomatic and non-combatant pressures, there is quadripartite agreement in principle that NATO should engage in a further military build-up or mobilization.

If Allied ground access were affected, plans exist for a garrison airlift to carry the necessary passengers and freight. If civilian ground access were blocker, it would become necessary to resort to the Berlin stockpile and implance QBAL - The Quadripartice Berlin Air Lift.

The quadripartite powers are less and ining the possibility of the allies taking civilian potent traffic. Is one point under their aegis. This would involve giving civilian motor traffic the cutward character of Allied military traffic. If such an attempt were resisted by the Soviets, as seems likely, the Soviets would have directly arraged the Allies on the ground and contingency planning for a blockage of Allies access would be applicable.

SECRET



- 11 -

If the interruption in Flase I were related to air access, the Soviet challenge would have been met within the framework of MCK PIKE plane. These actions - such as the Military Sponsored air Service - could carry over into Phase II.

Quadripartite agreement has also been reached that maval measures not involving the use of force might be used at this time to bring passure on the Soviets.

- 3. Economic action. There is also quadripartite agreement that another form of pressure that we could bring to bear on the Soviets would be aconomic countermeasures. These fall into three rough categories: so cring or limiting exchanges with the bloc in other than trade fields; restrictions on transport and movement of persons; and selective or full trade embargo (including interzonal trade). The NAC has extensively examined these measures, and there is substantial agreement on the manner of their execution.
- 4. Covert action. The US would also propose during this phase to encourage passive resistance in the GDR. We are at present discussing this with our three Allies.

REMOVE SLIDE 3

The question is sometimes asked: how long will Phase II last? This is a bit hard to predict with any certainty. Since, however, unless the blockage were ended, is would last until either we or the Soviets reserved to love to resolve the impasse, we could hope that it would be a metter of months reserved than days. We and our Allies would want to explore every avenue for peaceful matricment. We would also want to give the pressures we can bring to bear on the Soviets a chance to take effect. Thus will also be required for our

- 12 -

mobilization to place us in a position to use force if necessar, with acceptable risk.

It should be kept in mind, however, that the length of Phase II will be conditioned by the fact Allied prastige will be heavily engaged in restoration of our vital interests.

PHASES III and IV

If, despite the actions taken in Phase II, Allied rights are not restored and there is a serious deterioration of the Berlin situation -- the US believes that the tripartite Allies should take appropriate action to clarify whether the Soviets/GDR intend to maintain blockage of ground and/or air access, while at the same time making clear allied intention to obtain re-opened access.

1. <u>Military</u>. If it were clear the Soviets/GDR intend to maintain blockage of access, the US believes that NATO should initiate military actions designed to induce the Soviets to re-open excess

This phase -- Phase III -- would mark the commencement of offension nonnuclear combat, after positive verification of deliberate Soviet/CDk Licznage of
access to Berlin. It would be implemented by means of a plan, or plans, from
from the Live Oak or BERCON/MARCON catalogue, developed for the purpose. It is
the US view that Phase III would start under tripartite control and that the
chift to NATO control would take place at the time a tripartite operation came
under attack by Coviet/GDR forces.

SLIDE 4" 1"

PHASE III

Ground - LIVE OAK (Trade Wind and June Ball)
NATO (Bercon Charlies)

- 13 -

Air - LIVE OAK (Jack Pine) NATO 9Bercon Alphas)

Sea - Quadripartite NATO (MARCONS)

Phase IV

Demonstrative (BERCON BRAVO)

Selective

General War

Plans available and suitable for suplementation in Phase III include:

- (1) on the ground Trade Wind and June Ball, both Live Oak plans, and Charlie one, Two, Three, and From SACEUR'S BERCON series;
- (2) in the air, Live Ock Jack Pine III, and Alpha 1, 1.5, and 2 from BERCON. (Alpha 1.5 is an intermediate air plan which SACEUR is being directed by the Standing Group to prepare; it is not yet available.)
 - (3) At sea quadripartite maritime countermeasure: and MARCON 4, 5, 6.

Phase IV, beginning, in the US view, with the first we of nuclear weapons in any form, follows Phase III when it has become avident that it conventional measures which have been used have been unsuccessful in inducing an Soviets to restore Allied rights in Berlin, and when the conventional measure, still untried offer no reasonable prospect of success.

While BERCOM Brayo. SACLAMT's plan for the lemonstrative use of a limited number of number of number of number, is the only Seclin contingency plan which is exclusive, number, the other plans include nuclear annexes or provision for use of nuclear weapons, to be implemented (with Presidential authority) under any one of the three circumstances of:

٤.

SECRET

- 14 -

- (1) Prior use by the encry
- (2) The necessity to avoid defeat of mager military operations.
- (3) A specific relitical decision to employ nuclear weapons selectively in order to demonstrate the will and ability of the Alliance to use them.

In addition, depending upon the circumstances at the conclusion of Phase I Phase IV could begin by direct recorse to general war.

R HOVE SLIDE 4:

دىنىسەد 🎫

- 2. <u>Diplomatic</u>. Although it is difficult to predict precisely what diplomatic activity would take place just before and during these operations, it would be important that the Allies make clear to the soviets their intentions, particularly the terms on which they would discontinue military operations. They should also make clear to the world their reasons for undertaking military operations.
- 3. Covert. During military operations, the US would propose to encourage isolated acts of active resistance. The US would seek, however, to avoid encouraging an uprising, unless general war appeared imminent. We are now discussing these questions with the UK, France, and Germany.

 Planning responsibilities and coordination

Having described the framework within which Berlin planning is taking place, I should like to turn to the machinery.

SLIDE 5

Within the US Government, coordination is accomplished by the Bellin Task Force.


The Ambassadorial Group is primarily responsible for the production of Berlin contingency planning.

LIVE OAK utilizes the Eritish Commander in Garmany for ground access planning, USAFU for air access planning, and the tripartite JACK PINE Command Post to L. Less operations related to dir access.

The Tunn Guardipartite Committee is primarily responsible for

CMD. POST





•

•

SECRET

- 16 -

planning related to civilian access, with the assitance of Berlin and LIVE OAK.

The Berlin Commandants are responsible for contingency planning regarding Berlin itself and for assisting the Bona Quadripartite Committee and LIVE OAK in the performance of their functions. The Chairman Commandant has the tripartite Allied Staff for planning regarding Parlin.

The governments look to their Permanent Representatives to pursue their government's interest in the NAC and the UN.

SLIDE 6

SECRET

SLIDE 6

WHAT REMAINS TO BE DONE?

Agreement on "four phases"

Phase I. Work on ground access.

Phase II. MATO mobilization, Coordination name countermeasures.

Phases III and IV. Preparation NATO plans.

Concdusions

The question now erises as to what remains to be done.

1. We are seeking Allied agreement or the four phases. We are at present discussing this quadripartitely, preliminary to discussion in the MAC in relation to the BERCON/MARCON plans.

- Y. -

- 2 With regard to Phase 1, we are still working on several key elements of ground tweets planning. This involves primarily proparation of egreed rules of conduct for Allied convoys and exemination of possible Soviet/GDR actions related to civilian access.
- 3. With regard to Phase II; we are examining two problems: a possible EATO mobilization and the coordination of quadripartite navel measures.
- 4. With regard to Phases III and IV, we are now ready for NATO examination of the BERCON/NARCON plans. The SACEUR and SACLANT papers are now before the NATO Standing Group, prior to NAC consideration. Field commanders are also preparing the detailed plans.

In a word, although considerable planning has been done, it is by no means complete.