

**Elwood, Courtney**

---

**From:** David.Kris@timewarner.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 21, 2005 6:04 PM  
**To:** Elwood, Courtney  
**Subject:** RE: IN CASE YOU MISSED IT: President Had Legal Authority To OK Ta ps

My major disagreement with this, I think, is that the President's inherent authority to conduct electronic surveillance or physical searches in the \*absence\* of legislation is not the same as his inherent authority to do so in the \*presence\* of such legislation.

102

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Courtney.Elwood@usdoj.gov [mailto:Courtney.Elwood@usdoj.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 21, 2005 3:37 PM  
**To:** Kris, David  
**Subject:** Fw: IN CASE YOU MISSED IT: President Had Legal Authority To OK Taps  
**Importance:** Low

I am sure you saw this.

-----  
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

*Not responsive to request*

