1	LEONEL RAMOS TORRES
2	was called as a witness and, having first solemnly declared, was
3	examined and testified, through the interpreter, as follows:
4	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Mr. Pearce?
5	MR. PEARCE: Thank you, sir.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. PEARCE:
8	Q Good evening, Mr. Ramos.
9	A Good afternoon.
10	Q My name is Clyde Pearce. I am one of the attorneys for the
11	Claimant in this case, and I am going to ask you some questions about
12	testimony that you have given in this case.
13	You have given two Witness Statements in this case;
14	correct?
15	A Yes, that's so.
16	Q And have you read those statements over in preparation for
17	your testimony here today?
18	A Yes, sir.
19	Q And having read them, sir, do you today reaffirm what you
20	have testified in both your Counter-Memorial and your Rejoinder
21	Statements?
22	A Yes.

1 Did you, sir, review any other documents in preparation for Q 2 your testimony here today? Yes, but it's almost exclusively based on what I've already 3 Α declared. What other documents did you review? 5 Q With respect to the same case. 6 Yes, sir. And can you tell me specifically what those 7 8 documents were? Well, it's all based on my statements or on something which 9 is consistent with my statements. 10 Did you review the statements of any other witnesses? 11 Q 12 No; only my own. A 13 Did you review any of the pleadings? Q 14 Only our own. Α 15 Would that be the Counter-Memorial? Q A Yes, and I would repeat it is with respect to the same 16 17 thing. And also the Rejoinder? 18 Q 19 A Yes. Did you read anything from the Memorial? 20 Q 21 Α Yes.

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

And anything from the Reply?

22

Q

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Did you meet with anyone from the Government of Mexico, any
- 3 of their lawyers or their staff?
- 4 A We have talked.
- 5 Q And did they review with you your testimony for today?
- A Yes, of course, although any testimony I give is solely my
- 7 own.
- 8 Q Certainly. Did they advise you on how to answer any
- 9 questions?
- 10 A Well, yes, but I repeat the testimony is solely my own.
- 11 Q Did anyone suggest to you what questions might be asked of
- 12 you today?
- 13 A No, no, of course not, because I didn't know what I was
- 14 going to be asked.
- 15 Q Did anyone suggest to you what areas of inquiry might be
- 16 pursued in your examination today?
- 17 A No. I believe, or I thought, that those subjects would be
- 18 the same of those on which I gave testimony.
- 19 Q Mr. Ramos, you served as the Municipal President in
- 20 Guadalcazar for approximately two years; is that correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And what is your current occupation, sir?

1	A	Farmer and merchant.
2	Q	Was the job of Municipal President a full-time job?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Were you paid a salary as Municipal President?
5	A	Yes, yes, fees.
6	Q	How often did the ayuntamiento meet?
7	A	Monthly, and there was a monthly meeting of the Cabildo
8	[ph.].	
9	Q	And did you meet with the ayuntamiento in their monthly
10	meetings?	
11	A	Yes. I chaired the meeting.
12	Q	And also the cabildo?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	What was the operating budget for your Municipality during
15	each of the	e years you were Municipal President?
16	A	I don't recall exactly the number, but it was something
17	important,	what was given to me.
18	Q	Can you give me an idea what it was?
19	A	I repeat, I don't recall.
20	Q	You have no idea, sir, what your annual budget was for the

years that you were Municipal President?

21

- 1 A There was—there was a budget for expenditures and revenues
  2 that was done, and it was something—and it was for the Federal
- 3 Government.
- 4 Q I'm sorry--it was from the Federal Government?
- 5 A Yes. The Federal Government gives the participation to the
- 6 Municipality.
- 7 Q Is it also correct, sir, that the State Government must
- 8 review and approve your budget?
- 9 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Yes, Mr. Perezcano?
- 10 MR. PEREZCANO: There was a mistake in the interpretation.
- 11 I understand that Mr. Ramos said that the Town Council made a proposal
- 12 for the State Government and for the Federal Government, not from the
- 13 Federal Government to the cabildo, the Town Council.
- 14 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Thank you. the correction will be
- 15 noted.
- 16 Mr. Pearce?
- 17 BY MR. PEARCE:
- 18 Q I'm not sure where we are with the answer now. Is the
- 19 answer that the money comes from the State Government?
- 20 A The Federal Government is the one that contributes with the
- 21 majority of the resources.

1 Is it correct that the State Government must review and Q 2 approve your budget? 3 Α The Government of the State, no; the local Congress will review the budget. What portion of the money for your yearly budget came from the San Luis Potosi State Government? 7 It's very difficult to say or to speak about a percentage, 8 but I would say that more or less, it would be 25 or 30 percent. And just so that I can try to understand correctly, if I 10 remember what Mr. Perezcano was saying, the Municipality would make 11 application to the State, and the State would submit a budget to the 12 Federal Government? 13 Yes, that's it. 14 Thank you. And isn't the Governor the person who has a lot 15 of influence about how much money is going to be asked for from the 16 Federal Government? 17 No. I think it depends -- it depends on the budget or the 18 participation that is given to each of the Municipalities. It depends 19 on the Federation and each of the States. 20 And doesn't it also depend on the application made from the

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

21

22

State to the Federal Government?

The Congress does this.

A

1 Q Mr. Ramos, I would now like to ask you a few questions--2 MR. PEARCE [To Interpreter]: If you'll let me phrase a 3 little longer, we'll be okay. THE INTERPRETER: Of course. BY MR. PEARCE: --a few questions about the testimony you have already 7 given in this case. And I would like to begin by asking you about the 8 negotiations in late 1996 and early 1997 that led to what has been 9 referred to in this case as the Acuerdo. 10 You participated in the negotiations in October 1996 11 regarding the circumstances under which the landfill at La Pedrera 12 might open; correct? 13 Yes, sir. 14 And did these negotiations, Mr. Ramos, take place at 15 Government House? 16 Yes. The Government gave us the place where we could 17 undertake this. 18 Q Is this the Governor's office? 19 Α No, no. This was his house, not the office. 20 The Governor's house? Q

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

Yes, the house of the Governor; there is a house.

At whose invitation were you there?

21

22

Α

Q

- The Governor was the one who initiated this to see if there
- 2 could be an agreement and the discussions.
- 3 Q Other members of the ayuntamiento were present with you,
- 4 were they?
- 5 A The first time, I think not; afterwards, I believe so.
- 6 Q Was anyone else with you the first time?
- 7 A I don't recall. I don't think so.
- 8 Q Do you recall who else was in the meeting with you the
- 9 first time?
- 10 A No, I don't recall.
- 11 Q Were you and the other members of the Town Council acting
- 12 as representatives of the community of Guadalcazar?
- 13 A That sole cabildo also intervened in the agreement.
- 14 Q And I'm sure that it's correct that you and the members of
- 15 the Town Council were there in good faith?
- 16 A Of course.
- 17 Q And that you conducted your part of the negotiations in
- 18 good faith?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q During these discussions, these negotiations that went on,
- 21 did you discuss the issuance of a municipal construction permit for La
- 22 Pedrera?

- 1 A Well, yes, yes, we discussed it. As a matter of fact, this
- 2 has nothing to do with the Acuerdo; this was after the Acuerdo.
- 3 Q That it was--
- 4 THE INTERPRETER: Yes--I believe he had said "before."
- 5 Wait a minute.
- 6 THE WITNESS: It was in 1991-1992--in '96.
- 7 BY MR. PEARCE:
- 8 Q Let me see if I can ask another question and clear up my
- 9 mind.
- 10 At these negotiations at Government House that began in
- 11 1996, were there discussions concerning the issuance of a municipal
- 12 construction permit for La Pedrera?
- 13 A Yes, would you repeat that, please?
- 14 Q During the negotiations that took place at Government House
- 15 in 1996 in which you participated, isn't it true that there were
- 16 discussions concerning the issuance of a municipal construction permit
- 17 for La Pedrera?
- 18 A In the Agreement, or the discussions--in the discussions
- 19 that we had to formulate this Agreement of Understanding, this
- 20 construction permit was not mentioned.
- 21 Q Let me see if I can be a little clearer, although that gets
- 22 more difficult as it gets lighter. I'm not asking if it was included

- 1 in the Agreement itself but if it was discussed during the meetings
- 2 that were held.
- 3 A The first thing that we tried to do was to reach an
- 4 Agreement of Understanding. After that, and depending on the
- 5 discussion, one would see if the construction permit would or not be
- 6 issued.
- 7 Q So I take that as a yes, that it was discussed in some form
- 8 or another in your--in negotiations?
- 9 A No, it was not discussed, because the first thing we wanted
- 10 to reach was actually an agreement, a dialogue, an agreement in order
- 11 to remediate the question or the issue of a construction permit is not
- 12 mentioned in the Agreement of Understanding.
- 13 Q Let's look at your testimony at page 4, the second
- 14 paragraph of your Counter-Memorial Declaration--page 4, paragraph 2, in
- 15 English.
- 16 Do you have that, sir--and when I say the second paragraph,
- 17 I'm referring to the second full paragraph, so it's near the bottom.
- 18 A [Pause.] Here, it's been said that there was a request,
- 19 but this was denied--
- 20 Q There is no question before him at this point, other than
- 21 if he has read that paragraph.
- 22 Have you, sir?

1	A Yes.
2	Q In that testimony, you state: "During my administration
3	and all others, the Municipality has always held that the company did
4	not have a municipal construction license and much less a municipal
5	license to operate, which were the essential requirements set out in
6	the Ecological and Urban Code of the State of San Luis Potosi and in
7	the Financial Act for the Municipalities of the State of San Luis
8	Potosi."
9	Does that remain your testimony even today, Mr. Ramos?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And what do these laws set out as essential requirements?
12	A Well, first of all, this license was denied since the
13	landfill had been closed. It was also denied because it did not comply
14	with the regulations since the company had committed a series of
15	irregularitiesexcuse mebecause they were requesting a license when
16	they had already built.
17	Q Let me see if I can refer back to your testimony that you
18	just read in that paragraph, where you talked about "essential
19	requirements" set out in the law. And I am asking you, sir, what do
20	these laws set out as essential requirements?

1 That there should be no problems as the ones that are Α 2 considered in that request, and that is with regard to ecological 3 matters. 4 Q And is it your position, sir, that those requirements are 5 in either the Ecological Code or the Financial Code, or both? 6 Yes. Yes, sir, they are provided for in there. 7 A moment ago, you said that the company had done things to Q 8 violate regulations. What regulations did you refer to, sir? 9 In order to begin construction, the construction permit was A needed. 10 The corporation built without that permit. 11 Any other violations? Q 12 Α From an ecological point of view, and that is not my 13 specialty. But what were those ecological violations? 14 Q To have dumped 55,000 drums outside, in open air. 15 Α 16 And what regulation did that violate? Q Well, the inspection regulation. 17 Α 18 It violated a construction regulation? Q 19 Yes. I repeat, they had the facilities they had already Α 20 built without the benefit of a license. 21 In terms of the ecological violations that you say

occurred, were those violations of the Federal law?

22

- 1 A This was from the very beginning an ecological problem.
- 2 Yes, sir, but my question is were there ecological
- 3 violations that were in violation of the Federal law.
- 4 A Yes--you've made it very repetitive, but the violation is
- 5 that they had already built without having the corresponding permit. I
- 6 have stated this several times.
- 7 Q So is it your testimony, sir, that the only violation of
- 8 the company was to have built without the construction permit?
- 9 A That is so, and then it was cancelled, the permit was
- 10 cancelled by SEDUE.
- 11 Q In that same paragraph 4 of your testimony, you continue by
- 12 stating: "The company had already requested the construction license
- 13 twice, and on both occasions, it was denied because they did not
- 14 fulfill the legal requirements."
- What legal requirements, Mr. Ramos, did they not comply
- 16 with when the first application was made, before there was any
- 17 construction?
- 18 A You said before the construction, correct, in 1991?
- 19 Q Yes.
- 20 A Well, in 1991, there was another Town Council which denied
- 21 that right.

- 1 Q Yes, sir, that may be correct, but you testified that it
- 2 was denied twice because legal requirements weren't fulfilled. Now,
- 3 having said that, I am asking you what legal requirements weren't
- 4 fulfilled with the first denial.
- 5 A Well, they were the ecological requirements.
- 6 Q And what were those?
- 7 A Well, a series of things, irregularities, as what I have
- 8 said before.
- 9 MR. PEARCE: This is a good place for me to break, Mr.
- 10 President, if it's good with you.
- 11 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Fine. Mr. Pearce would like to
- 12 break off now, at 6:27, so we'll break off here and resume tomorrow
- morning at--we'll go back to the original timing--9:30, please,
- 14 tomorrow morning.
- Now, the witness will be--he can't be exactly sequestrated,
- 16 but what does one do about--
- 17 MR. PEREZCANO: Yes, I'm going to ask, Mr. President,
- 18 someone to accompany Mr. Ramos back to his hotel.
- 19 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Someone who has not been involved
- 20 in the case.
- MR. PEREZCANO: A representative of the Embassy, but they
- 22 have been present here.

1	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Naturally, they accept the
2	assurance.
3	MR. PEREZCANO: Thank you.
4	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: And perhaps I should explain to Mr.
5	Ramos that this little bit of discussion that there has just been
6	between Mr. Perezcano and myself relates to the factnot the factthe
. 7	requirement that you must not discuss the case with anybody while you
8	are still giving evidence.
9	Thank you.
10	All right. Session adjourned.
11	[Whereupon, at 6:28 p.m., the proceedings were adjourned,
12	to reconvene on Wednesday, September 1, 1999, at 9:30 a.m.]

## WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 1, 1999

WITNESS	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Leonel Ramos Torres	3	44	·
Marcia Williams	92	241	242

THE WOR	LD BANK GROUP
INTERNATIONAL CE	NTRE FOR SETTLEMENT OF
T111111 0 m	
INVEST	MENT DISPUTES
	/ T. C.
•	(ICSID)
	x
To the Matheway.	<b>.</b>
in the Matter or:	•
NEMATOLAD CODDODAMION	•
METALCIAD CORPORATION,	•
01 - i mant	
Claimant,	: Case No.
	: ARB(AF)97/1
<b>v</b> •	•
	<b>:</b>
UNITED MEXICAN STATES,	:
	<b>:</b>
Respondent.	:
	<b>:</b>
	x
	_
Vo	lume III
	lume III dnesday, September 1, 1999
Wed	dnesday, September 1, 1999
	dnesday, September 1, 1999
Wed The hearing in the ab	dnesday, September 1, 1999 Dove-entitled matter
Wed	dnesday, September 1, 1999 Dove-entitled matter
Wed  The hearing in the ab  was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursua	dnesday, September 1, 1999 Dove-entitled matter
Wed The hearing in the ab	dnesday, September 1, 1999 Dove-entitled matter
The hearing in the abwas convened at 9:30 a.m., pursua COMPOSITION OF TRIBUNAL:	dnesday, September 1, 1999 pove-entitled matter ant to notice.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual COMPOSITION OF TRIBUNAL:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I	dnesday, September 1, 1999 pove-entitled matter ant to notice.
The hearing in the abwas convened at 9:30 a.m., pursua COMPOSITION OF TRIBUNAL:	dnesday, September 1, 1999 pove-entitled matter ant to notice.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual COMPOSITION OF TRIBUNAL:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President	dnesday, September 1, 1999 bove-entitled matter ant to notice. LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual composition of TRIBUNAL:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President  BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI	dnesday, September 1, 1999 bove-entitled matter ant to notice. LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual COMPOSITION OF TRIBUNAL:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President	dnesday, September 1, 1999 bove-entitled matter ant to notice. LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual COMPOSITION OF TRIBUNAL:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President  BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI Arbitrator	dnesday, September 1, 1999 bove-entitled matter ant to notice. LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual COMPOSITION OF TRIBUNAL:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President  BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI Arbitrator  JOSE LUIS SIQUEIROS	dnesday, September 1, 1999 bove-entitled matter ant to notice. LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual COMPOSITION OF TRIBUNAL:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President  BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI Arbitrator	dnesday, September 1, 1999 bove-entitled matter ant to notice. LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual COMPOSITION OF TRIBUNAL:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President  BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI Arbitrator  JOSE LUIS SIQUEIROS	dnesday, September 1, 1999 bove-entitled matter ant to notice. LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual COMPOSITION OF TRIBUNAL:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President  BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI Arbitrator  JOSE LUIS SIQUEIROS	dnesday, September 1, 1999 bove-entitled matter ant to notice. LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual COMPOSITION OF TRIBUNAL:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President  BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI Arbitrator  JOSE LUIS SIQUEIROS	dnesday, September 1, 1999 bove-entitled matter ant to notice. LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual composition of tribunal:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President  BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI Arbitrator  JOSE LUIS SIQUEIROS Arbitrator	dnesday, September 1, 1999  Dove-entitled matter  ant to notice.  LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual composition of tribunal:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President  BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI Arbitrator  JOSE LUIS SIQUEIROS Arbitrator	dnesday, September 1, 1999 bove-entitled matter ant to notice. LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
The hearing in the above was convened at 9:30 a.m., pursual composition of tribunal:  PROFESSOR SIR ELIHU I President  BENJAMIN R. CIVILETTI Arbitrator  JOSE LUIS SIQUEIROS Arbitrator	dnesday, September 1, 1999  Dove-entitled matter  ant to notice.  LAUTERPACHT, Q.C.
	INTERNATIONAL CE

1	APPEARANCES:			
2				
3	On behalf of the	Claimant	::	
4				
5	CLYDE C. PE	ARCE		
6	PETER J. CI	ING		
7				
8	On behalf of the	Responde	ent:	
9				
10	HUGO PEREZO	ANO DIA	3	
11	(Interprete	d from S	Spanish]	
12	CHRISTOPHER	THOMAS		
13				
14				
15				
16		<u>c</u> 9	ONTENT	<u>s</u>
17				
18				
19	<u>WITNESS</u> <u>DIRECT</u>	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
20				
21	Leonel Ramos			
22	Torres (resumed)	3	44	
23				
24	Marcia Williams	92	241	242
25				

26

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Good morning. We resume the
3	hearing. This is the third session on Wednesday, September 1, 1999.
4	We ended yesterday in the course of the cross-examination
5	of Mr. Ramos, and that is where we will resume today. I hope Mr. Ramos
6	has had a pleasant evening on his own and is ready to face today.
7	Mr. Pearce?
8	MR. PEARCE: Yes, sir. Thank you.
9	Whereupon,
10	LEONEL RAMOS TORRES
11	resumed the stand and, having previously solemnly declared, was
12	examined and testified further, through the interpreter, as follows:
13	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
14	BY MR. PEARCE:
15	Q Good morning, sir.
16	A Good morning.
17	Q Behind Tab 1 in the book, you will see a copy of the
18	ayuntamiento's minutes of a December 5, 1995, Extraordinary Session.
19	First of all, sir, can you tell me what does it mean when it's an
20	extraordinary session?
21	A An extraordinary session is something that is not the
22	normal or the monthly meeting.

- Q So there is some particular reason that causes you to
  convene out of the ordinary?

  A Yes.

  And on December 5, 1995, what was that extraordinary
- 4 Q And on December 5, 1995, what was that extraordinary 5 reason?
- A Well, to report about Metalclad's request with regard to the construction permit.
- 8 Q Was there a particular day of the month that the normal 9 meetings were held?
- 10 A The regular meetings were held every last day--or first day
  11 of each month.
- 12 Q Had there already been a meeting held in December?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q So this was the second meeting you had in December?
- 15 A That is correct.
- 16 Q Did you have a chance to--or would you take a moment,
- 17 please, and look at that document? And I want to ask you some
- 18 questions after that. Mainly, sir, what I wish to ask you is whether
- 19 that document accurately reflects the action taken by the ayuntamiento
- 20 on that date.
- 21 A Yes, it reflects it.

1 Will you please take a look, sir, at Slide Exhibit No. 1? 0 2 This is a copy of a part of those minutes. Among the listed reasons for denial of the permit is a reason stated that seems to say that the 3 company had already applied for a permit on October 1, 1991, and it had 5 been denied. Is that correct? That is correct. Can you tell us, sir, which part of the statutes mentioned 7 8 that we talked about yesterday would support denying this permit 9 application on the basis that it had been denied on October 1, 1991? It had been denied on the basis of a violation and the 10 11 irregularities in order to carry out such construction. 12 Q What was the violation that you refer to? Yesterday I was saying that in the beginning or from the 13 14 beginning there were 55,000 drums left outdoors without any norms of 15 care about these materials. 16 And what would be the irregularities that you refer to? Q 17 Well, that is one of them. Second, I mention again, as I said it yesterday, was that the request made for a construction permit 18 19 was made when construction of part of the work had already been done. 20 Q So that I understand, sir, the violation involved a 21 violation of environmental standards for having 55,000 barrels in the

22

open?

1 Α Correct. 2 And the second violation--I'm sorry, the irregularities 3 included the fact that some construction had been begun for which there 4 had been no local construction permit. Is that correct, sir? That is correct. Will you please, sir, now look at Slide Exhibit 2? 7 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Just before you go to Exhibit 2, 8 may I just ask a question of Mr. Ramos? Please, could you help me, Mr. 9 Ramos? The application which was the subject of consideration in 10 December 1995 related to an application made in November 1994. Is that 11 correct? THE WITNESS: Yes. What happens is that when I was 12 13 President of the municipality, again, a request was made for such 14 construction. 15 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: You say when you were President of 16 the municipality. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: What was the date of that? 19 THE WITNESS: It was before the day of the meeting. 20 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: So the answer to my question 21 remains that the application under consideration in 1995 was the

application made in November 1994?

22

- 1 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 2 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: So why was there such a long delay
- 3 in considering the application?
- 4 THE WITNESS: The period is about 11 months starting from
- 5 January 1, 1995.
- 6 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: But the application was dated
- 7 November 15, 1994.
- 8 THE WITNESS: That was done to another municipal council.
- 9 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: But was that municipal council the
- 10 municipal council of Guadalcazar?
- 11 THE WITNESS: Yes, with changes, because I became President
- 12 January 1, 1995.
- 13 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: I understand that. Let me ask you
- 14 this: Let's assume that the delay was only from January to December
- 15 1995. During that period, do you know whether work was done at La
- 16 Pedrera?
- 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. They were already built instructions.
- 18 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: And did that work continue during
- 19 that period of 11 months?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. It continued being done.
- 21 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Were the members of the council
- 22 aware of that?

1	THE WITNESS: Well, from before, we knew that the landfill
2	was closed. But even so, it was being observed that inside
3	construction was being made.
4	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: In that case, why was it that the
5	council did not take a decision earlier?
6	THE WITNESS: In fact, in the beginning of each period,
7	each presidency, there is a lot of work, such as planning works, start
8	new projects, in order to begin to work. That's why I believe that
9	there was no answer sooner to that matter.
10	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Why was the matter considered in
11	December 1995?
12	THE WITNESS: Because it was when the company orally
13	reminded us again about the request.
14	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Thank you.
15	Mr. Pearce?
16	MR. PEARCE: Thank you, sir.
17	BY MR. PEARCE:
18	Q Looking at Slide Exhibit 2, sir, this appears to be a
19	second reason given for this action by the town council on December 5th
20	ratifying the actions of a prior council as reflected in minutes of
21	January 20, 1992, in which that council resolved to deny any permit

- 1 application that might aid in the continuation of the company in
- 2 Guadalcazar; is that correct?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Can you tell us, sir, what basis in your statutes or laws
- 5 is there to deny your municipal construction permit because a preceding
- 6 ayuntamiento issued a resolution to ratify an earlier denial and
- 7 resolving not to issue any other permits to the company?
- 8 A I believe that our ratification is based in the illegality
- 9 because I don't know really how advanced that work was at that time.
- 10 Q At which time, sir? In October 1992?
- 11 A Mm-hmm.
- 12 Q Is that a yes?
- 13 A It is a yes.
- 14 Q Thank you. Slide Exhibit 3 appears to give a third reason
- 15 for the denial by your council of the construction permit application.
- 16 It refers to what's called an "obra nueva" or "new work." Does that
- 17 mean that since the construction had already happened, you would not
- 18 grant a permit for a new work?
- 19 A I understand that the request is made to begin a new work.
- 20 Afterwards, if expansions or some other works will be done, a new
- 21 request would be made.

- 1 Q Does that mean, sir, that it was denied because the work 2 had already been done and you understood this application to be for an 3 obra nueva? 4 A That is correct. In fact, they, the company, were 5 requesting a permit to start a construction. Yesterday I was pointing 6 out that my council denied it because such construction had already 7 been done. 8 Let's look at Slide Exhibit 4 now, please, which appears to Q 9 be a fourth reason given by the ayuntamiento for the denial of the 10 municipal construction permit. In particular, I refer to the language 11 that the permit is denied for any reason that could be used to deny it. 12 Is that correct?
- 13 A That is correct. It was denied.
- 14 Q Was that one of the reasons for its denial?
- 15 A I repeat again, it was based in past or resolutions that
  16 were taken before and the analysis that was done at the time to make
  17 such a denial.
- Q Did someone outside of the ayuntamiento give advice to you and the council on the reasons to be listed for denying the permit that appear in this resolution?

- 1 A In fact, the one that prepares the minutes and who carries
- 2 out the analysis of the different data and different periods is the
- 3 secretary of the ayuntamiento.
- 4 Q Yes, sir. Did the secretary of the ayuntamiento have
- 5 counsel from someone outside the town council in the preparation of
- 6 those minutes?
- 7 A With regard to laws, he was advised by a counsel who well
- 8 has nothing to do with the municipality. He is from outside. At that
- 9 time he was a friend of the ayuntamiento.
- 10 Q I'm sorry. He was a friend of?
- 11 A Yes, he was a friend of the ayuntamiento. I would like to
- 12 tell you that even-that, unfortunately, the counsel has passed away.
- 13 He's no longer with us. And to tell the truth, he was a very good
- 14 lawyer.
- 15 Q I accept that, sir. Did you discuss with Governor Sanchez
- 16 your decision to deny the permit?
- 17 A No, because this is a decision taken in the cabildo, not
- 18 even a decision that I would make.
- 19 Q Did you discuss it with Dr. Medellin?
- 20 A No. I believe that this is an attribution of the cabildo
- 21 because it is the maximum authority and the one who executes the

- decisions. I'm the one that executes the decisions at that time, but
- 2 the highest authority is the cabildo.
- 3 Q But that doesn't prevent you from seeking advice from other
- 4 sources, does it?
- 5 A Of course not. If that was needed at the time, we could
- 6 request it.
- 7 Q Are you aware, sir, of the law concerning municipal
- 8 construction permits that requires you to make a decision within 10
- 9 days or to set the amount of fees in the absence of a decision?
- 10 A Unfortunately, I am not a lawyer. Well, to say some of the
- 11 decisions that were taken, well, some knowledge, like the questions
- 12 you're asking me.
- 13 Q Would it be correct, sir, you are then unaware-let me
- 14 finish please; the question isn't completed-that you are unaware of a
- 15 law requiring no more than four months should elapse before this
- 16 decision is made?
- 17 A No, I was not aware, to tell the truth.
- 18 Q Did the governor or his legal counsel or anyone from his
- 19 administration advise you on this application for the construction
- 20 permit?
- 21 A No, no, truthfully. It was only a decision by the cabildo,
- 22 I repeat.

- 1 Q Was Leonel Serrato counsel to Guadalcazar in November 1995?
- 2 A We requested his advice.
- 3 Q And he was recommended to you by Governor Sanchez; isn't
- 4 that correct?
- 5 A No. No. I knew Mr. Serrato for many years, and his site
- 6 of origin actually is Elcano (?) casa, another municipality, but it's
- 7 close to (?) casa.
- 8 Q Are you aware, sir, that Mr. Serrato has testified that he
- 9 was contacted by the governor and asked if he would provide legal
- 10 assistance to you?
- 11 A Well, truthfully, I do not know that detail. I sought him
- 12 out for many matters and even nowadays for personal matters, even now
- 13 when I'm no longer President.
- 14 Q Was Mr. Serrato a friend and adviser of the governor that
- 15 you know?
- 16 A No, I wouldn't be able to tell you whether that's so.
- 17 Q Among other things, Mr. Serrato agreed to advise you on the
- 18 municipality's response to the signing of the joint agreement, or the
- 19 Convenio, between SEMARNAP and Metalclad; correct?
- 20 A For signing of the Convenio or the agreement of
- 21 understanding? Excuse me. I'm not sure what you're referring to.

- 1 Q In November of 1995, the federal government, through
- 2 SEMARNAP, INE, and PROFEPA, executed an agreement with Metalclad that,
- 3 among other things, would provide for the remediation at La Pedrera and
- 4 its commercial operation. As a result of that, Mr. Serrato gave you
- 5 counsel in preparing the municipality to respond to that Convenio;
- 6 isn't that correct?
- 7 A The negative response came at the root of a demonstration
- 8 by--or expression by persons from Guadalcazar.
- 9 Q But isn't it true that the ayuntamiento and those of you in
- 10 municipal leadership felt a need to prepare a response to this
- 11 Convenio?
- 12 A Yes, that is so.
- 13 Q And you and the members of the ayuntamiento felt that you
- 14 needed to take some action to protect the municipality from the opening
- 15 and the operating of La Pedrera; correct?
- 16 A Yes, that is so.
- 17 Q And it was after November '95 when Mr. Serrato, whether you
- 18 sought him out or the governor sent him to you, began giving you advice
- 19 that on December 5th the town council denied the construction permit;
- 20 correct?
- 21 A Yes, that is so.

- 1 A Yes, that is so.
- 2 Q And you signed the administrative appeal with Mr. Sanchez
- 3 Torres on behalf of the municipality; is that correct?
- 4 Yes, Mr. Sanchez Torres and I--well, he served as the
- 5 municipal sindico, which carries out the functions of the public
- 6 ministry when the public ministry agent is not present in the place.
- 7 Q I'm showing you, sir, what is Exhibit 123 to the counter-
- 8 memorial. This is a document submitted by counsel for the Government
- 9 of Mexico, and I am, in fact, referring to their English translation of
- 10 what they deem to be a summary of the much larger document. I direct
- 11 your attention, sir, to page 1 in the English, the third bullet on that
- 12 first page of the summary. Counsel may need to help you locate that
- 13 page.
- 14 Have you found that?
- 15 A No.
- MR. PEREZCANO: Mr. Pearce, I understand that Mr. Ramos
- 17 does not read English.
- 18 MR. PEARCE: I'm sorry. [inaudible] sensitive to that.
- 19 BY MR. PEARCE:
- Q Have you been able to find it in the Spanish?
- 21 Inasmuch as I am relying on your English translation,
- 22 perhaps I will go ahead and read it in English with the interpreter.

- 1 Q And Mr. Serrato gave you legal advice with respect to that 2 action; is that correct?
- A I don't recall precisely, but as I was saying a moment ago,
- 4 apart from Mr. Serrato, when we did not have his support, then we did
- 5 draw on the counsel of an attorney who I mentioned a while ago, an
- 6 attorney who, like Mr. Serrato, is a personal friend, and I sought out
- 7 his advice.
- 8 Q May I inquire as to the name of this other attorney, sir?
- 9 A His name was, because he's already passed away, his name
- 10 was Concepcion or Jose Concepcion. I don't recall his--Anaya, I think,
- 11 but I'm not really certain.
- 12 Q Can you tell me, sir, if either Mr. Serrato or Mr.
- 13 Concepcion were aware that the permit had been pending for 13 months?
- 14 A I don't know if I told them this when I sought out their
- 15 advice, but in any event, they weren't aware of this detail.
- 16 Q In December of 1995, the ayuntamiento filed an
- 17 administrative action at SEMARNAP for a reconsideration and withdrawal
- 18 of the Convenio agreement. Correct?
- 19 A Yes, that's so.
- 20 Q And Mr. Serrato was your legal adviser at the time?
- 21 A I believe so. I don't remember but I believe so.
- 22 Q You did have legal advice in doing that, did you not?

- 1 And if there is a problem with that later, your counsel can raise it.
- 2 It says this, Mr. Ramos:
- 3 "The Convenio de Concertacion is contrary to law because"--
- 4 and I'm skipping to the second paragraph of the reasons. "It invades
- 5 the jurisdiction of the municipality and contradicts the will of the
- 6 people."
- 7 Skipping the next sentence--actually, I won't skip the next
- 8 sentence. "The authorization of all acts relating to any type of
- 9 construction and the operation of establishments are within the
- 10 exclusive jurisdiction of the municipal authority. Therefore, only the
- 11 ayuntamiento of the municipality of Guadalcazar may authorize COTERIN
- 12 to construct the hazardous waste landfill facilities located at the La
- Pedrera site as well as the operation of the establishment, in
- 14 accordance with the following recited laws."
- Do you agree that that language was in the administrative
- 16 appeal that you signed, sir?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q Two days following the signing of the Convenio, on November
- 19 26, 1995, you met in another extraordinary session of the town council;
- 20 correct?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And at that session Governor Sanchez attended and presided?

1 Yes. 2 And you indicate that at that time, as a municipality, you Q 3 were only partially informed about the federal agreement; correct? Α Yes, that is so. 5 In fact, didn't Governor Sanchez read to you at that 6 meeting the press release from the federal government? 7 Yes, but Mr. Sanchez Unzueta came to the council meeting at Α 8 the request of the population of Guadalcazar. I understand that no 9 person, even if governor, if not authorized cannot attend a town 10 council meeting. 11 Did you believe, Mr. Ramos, that Secretary Carabias or Q 12 someone from the federal government should formally notify the town 13 council about the agreement? 14 Α I think that all decisions made within the territory of a 15 municipality, that municipality should be taken into account, 16 especially the authorities, for in Mexico the municipalities and the 17 states have autonomy even though there is a relationship among both, 18 among the various authorities. 19 Did you take it as an insult to the municipality's autonomy 20 that the Secretary failed to notify the ayuntamiento? 21 Not a an insult, but as something that might happen to any

22

public official.

1 Did Secretary Carabias or any other federal official O 2 contact you or, to your knowledge -- [tape ends] -- in Guadalcazar to discuss the Convenio agreement before it was signed? 3 T1B Α No. The Convenio that was signed was at the request of 5 society and of the town council itself. 6 Are you aware that the federal government in negotiating 7 the Convenio in November 1995 negotiated certain provisions in that 8 agreement that affected the municipality of Guadalcazar? 9 Α No. I found about this through the press, that is, the 10 decision of the federal government, in this case the decision by Julia 11 Carabias, with respect to the action sought to be taken in the 12 municipality. 13 Let's look, please, behind Tab 7 of the witness book. I 14 wish to refer to Article 13 in English, and it looks like it's on page 15 6 in the Spanish. This is a document also provided by the Government 16 of Mexico. 17 I note that Article 13, which is reciting obligations of 18 the company, involves the creation of a citizens supervisor committee 19 in which up to 15 persons will be designed by the city council of 20 Guadalcazar. Do you see that? 21 [Pause.]

THE WITNESS: Yes.

22

- 1 BY MR. PEARCE:
- 2 Q And in Article 14, it says the company will provide access
- 3 to the members of that committee upon a 72-hour notice; correct?
- 4 A Yes. Yes, that's what it says.
- 5 Q And in Article 15, the company promises to pay an amount of
- 6 two new pesos per ton of waste that enters the treatment facility, and
- 7 they agreed to adjust that amount based on the inflation index in
- 8 Mexico. Then that article requires that the resulting amount of monies
- 9 will be directed towards social works in the municipality of
- 10 Guadalcazar. Correct?
- 11 A Yes, that's what it says.
- 12 Q And it also indicates in Article 16 that the company will
- 13 give a 10 percent discount for all companies within the state of San
- 14 Luis Potosi, which would include companies in Guadalcazar; correct?
- 15 A That's what it says.
- 16 Q And Article 17, the government negotiated this concession
- 17 from the company: that it promises for one day a week to provide free
- 18 medical consultation to the inhabitants of the municipality of
- 19 Guadalcazar. Correct?
- 20 A Yes, that's what it says.
- 21 Q And in Article 18, the company promised to employ manual
- 22 labor from the municipality of Guadalcazar, and to the extent that that

- 1 labor force from Guadalcazar did not meet certain technical training
- 2 requirements, the company would give preference to the inhabitants of
- 3 Guadalcazar for training. Correct?
- 4 A Yes, that's what it says.
- 5 Q And in Article 21, the company agreed to provide two
- 6 courses per year on the management of hazardous waste to people in the
- 7 public federal, state, and municipal sectors. Correct?
- 8 A Yes, that's what it says. That is correct.
- 9 Do you agree, Mr. Ramos, that those are activities and
- 10 items and events that relate to the municipality of Guadalcazar?
- 11 A Yes. Well, that's what it says right here.
- 12 Q And no one from the federal government consulted with you
- or the town council about these things, did they?
- 14 A Yes, that is so.
- 15 Q The administrative appeal that the municipal filed was
- 16 denied by Secretary Carabias; correct?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And soon after that, on January 31, 1996, the municipality
- 19 filed an amparo action which was accepted by the court on February 6,
- 20 1997--correction, 1996, wherein you sought an injunction of the
- 21 implementation of the terms of that Convenio; correct?
- 22 A Yes, that is correct.

- 1 Q Except for those provisions relating to remediation,
- 2 though; right?
- 3 A Yes, that is so.
- 4 Q A few minutes ago, we looked at the administrative appeal
- 5 that you signed, and among the reasons set forward in that appeal was
- 6 that construction and operation of establishments within the community
- 7 are the exclusive jurisdiction of the municipal authority. But you did
- 8 not make that argument in the filing of the amparo; correct?
- 9 A I don't recall, but I think so. The truth is I don't
- 10 recall.
- 11 Q Okay. Thank you. And Mr. Serrato was your legal counsel
- 12 at that time as well; correct?
- 13 A Yes. And, I repeat, Mr. Serrato and, when he was not
- 14 available, the attorney who I mentioned earlier.
- 15 Q Yes, sir. Did you believe that that Convenio signed by the
- 16 federal government with Metalclad was an illegal agreement?
- 17 A I think so because the municipal authorities weren't being
- 18 taken into account, the population of Guadalcazar, in this case the
- 19 citizenry.
- 20 Q Would another way of saying that, sir, be that the people
- 21 weren't consulted?
- 22 A That is so.

- 1 Q Is it your position that the federal government had no
- 2 authority to enter into the Convenio?
- THE INTERPRETER: Excuse me. Could you just repeat...?
- 4 MR. PEARCE: What did I ask? Oh.
- 5 BY MR. PEARCE:
- 6 Q Is it your position that the federal government had no
- 7 authority to enter into the Convenio?
- 8 A I don't know if they could or could not. I really don't
- 9 know what is the scope of what the federation could do.
- 10 Q What part of the Convenio agreement, sir, do you consider
- 11 to be unlawful?
- 12 A I think that it was all legal. The problem is that I think
- 13 that the people of Guadalcazar were not being taken into consideration.
- 14 Q Were you aware of whether Mr. Serrato kept Governor Sanchez
- 15 informed of these issues or not?
- 16 A No. I think that this is something very personal
- [inaudible]. Really, I could not tell you.
- 18 Q Did you believe that Mr. Serrato was discussing matters
- 19 with the governor?
- 20 A I couldn't tell you the truth.
- 21 Q Do you believe that Mr. Serrato advised the town council to
- 22 do anything that Governor Sanchez disapproved of?

1 Α He advised when the cabildo requested this assistance, and 2 not vice versa, that Mr. Serrato was then going to the cabildo and 3 advising by his--personally, by his own will. 4 Q Did Governor Sanchez ever say to you that he approved of 5 the actions you were taking regarding the municipal construction 6 permit? 7 Α The actions that were undertaken were undertaken upon the 8 initiative of the people of Guadalcazar, not even due to my own 9 initiative or the initiative of the governor. That would be very 10 difficult. 11 I understand the source of the initiative, sir. I'm just 12 asking if the governor ever said to you that he approved of what you 13 were doing -- not that he interfered, but if he approved of it. 14 At the beginning--and perhaps I'm going to go a little bit 15 out of the question -- the governor was really somewhat aside from the 16 problem, and proof of this was that the people of Guadalcazar would 17 demonstrate in the Plaza Guadalcazar and not in San Luis Potosi, so 18 that the governor would intervene or so that the governor would become 19 interested in the problem of Guadalcazar. So this was actually the 20 request of the petition of the people of Guadalcazar until the governor

took the matters in his hand. And he attended to the request of the

- petitions to the needs and answered then the demands of the people of
  Guadalcazar.
- 3 [Pause.]
- 4 BY MR. PEARCE:
- 5 Q Would those petitions to the governor that you indicate
- 6 were made by the members of the community include petitions from the
- 7 citizens of Guadalcazar to have the governor issue an environmental
- 8 decree that included La Pedrera within its confines?
- 9 A Yes, upon the petition of the citizenry of Guadalcazar and
- 10 upon pressure of the citizenry of Guadalcazar, the governor was asked.
- 11 Q So is that what you meant, sir, when you said a moment ago
- 12 that the governor took things into his own hands?
- 13 A I wanted to say there were different actions, not only
- 14 this.
- 15 Q But that was one of them?
- 16 A Yes, that was one of them.
- 17 Q Following the filing of the amparo, the ruling of the court
- 18 was to enjoin Metalclad from commercially operating the landfill just
- 19 as you had requested; correct?
- 20 A I don't understand your question.

1 Q I'm sorry. The court in which you filed the amparo made a 2 preliminary ruling that said Metalclad could not commercially operate 3 and this is what you had asked them to do; correct? 4 Α Yes, that is correct. 5 But another provision of that preliminary ruling provided 6 for remediation as set forth in the Convenio; correct? 7 Α Yes, that is so. 8 Is it also correct, Mr. Ramos, that your goals in 9 initiating the amparo -- that is, to stop commercial operations while at 10 the same time having remediation--were the same as your reasons for 11 denying the construction permit? 12 I think that there is no--I think that one thing and the Α 13 other really do to agree. They are two different things. The 14 construction permit was denied for the reasons that I gave you before, 15 and the remedy that was presented was to put a stop to the actions that 16 Metalclad wanted to undertake. 17 Yes, sir. But in denying the construction permit, you 18 achieved the purpose of denying commercial operations, didn't you? 19 Α That is so. 20 Q So your objection to the facility--let me rephrase.

denial of the construction permit really had nothing to do with the

timing or the quality of the construction; rather, your objection was

21

- $1 \hspace{0.5cm}$  to the manner in which the facility was going to be used. Isn't that
- 2 right?
- 3 A I think so. The problem was the construction permit was
- 4 denied for what had already been said before.
- 5 [Pause.]
- 6 BY MR. PEARCE:
- Q Sir, I refer you to the last paragraph on page 5 of your counter-memorial statement. The particular language to which I wish to
- 9 refer is behind Tab 8. Here, sir, you were talking about the October
- 10 1996 negotiations, and regarding the issue of the municipal
- 11 construction permit, you say, "This must be analyzed within its proper
- 12 context. The construction license will not be a problem if the final
- 13 agreement was regarding remediation, nor would it be if the agreement
- 14 were for the operation of a non-hazardous waste landfill or if the
- 15 community had given their consent."
- Was that your position, sir, in October 1996?
- 17 A I would like to make a comment with regard to this
- 18 statement. The agreement taken with Mr. Carvajal, if you read
- 19 carefully the agreement of understanding, at no time does it mention
- 20 that this construction permit will be granted. This was a comment made
- 21 by one of the councilmen in the sense that once the initial agreement
- 22 had been signed, there would be no problem with what would continue

- 1 regarding negotiations for agreements, but not for that particular
- 2 permit. That is not even mentioned in the acuerdo. This was an
- 3 observation made by (?) Carvajal at that time, and, well, we never
- 4 said that.
- 5 Q What I'm asking, sir, is for your response to the language
- 6 that's in your declaration that we just read. In fact, in your
- 7 declaration, you say some of what you just said. And you suggest that
- 8 Mr. Carvajal seems to imply that you had committed yourselves to the
- 9 issuance of the municipal construction permit. And while that's
- 10 another issue, your statement in clarification of that is that it must
- 11 be analyzed within its proper context. And if the company had agreed
- 12 to remediation, the construction permit would not have been a problem.
- 13 If it was to be a non-hazardous waste landfill, the construction permit
- 14 would be no problem. And if the community gave its consent, no
- 15 problem.
- 16
  Isn't that your testimony, sir?
- 17 A That is so. Yes, that is so.
- 18 Q So your position and intent as President of the
- 19 municipality was to prevent La Pedrera from ever opening as a hazardous
- 20 waste landfill by withholding the issuance of the municipal
- 21 construction permit; is that correct?

- 1 A Such permit was not denied at a personal level. I was not 2 denying it. It was the cabildo was denying it.
- 3 Q And the cabildo denied it for the reasons that I just
- 4 suggested; isn't that correct?
- 5 A That is so.
- 6 Q So your goal was to--yours and the cabildo's goal was to
- 7 prevent the company from using the facility as a hazardous waste
- 8 landfill; correct?
- 9 A Yes, and I would like to repeat, this is not a decision
- 10 that pertains only to the cabildo. This represents the will and the
- ll consensus of the population or the people of Guadalcazar.
- 12 Q Mr. Ramos, why did you not just simply state in your denial
- 13 of the permit that this permit is denied because the community of
- 14 Guadalcazar doesn't want a hazardous waste landfill at La Pedrera?
- 15 A That's it.
- 16 Q Why did you not put that language in the denial?
- 17 A I don't know, but the truth is that the ones who opposed,
- 18 the people of Guadalcazar, and they have received support at the time
- 19 upon the request of the society or the people has been the cabildo.
- 20 Q During your administration, sir, how many construction
- 21 licenses were denied because the work was finished before the permit
- 22 was submitted?

1 Α I don't think that there have been works of that type that 2 have damaged the environment that were built or they started building 3 them before and then afterwards requested the construction permit. 4 So you don't recall any? Q 5 A No. 6 Is it fair to say, sir, that based on your testimony--I'll 7 withdraw that. 8 Isn't it correct that the acuerdo that you were negotiating 9 memorializes the fact that you and the other local officials were 10 negotiating the circumstances under which the municipal construction 11 permit would be issued? 12 The municipal permit for the construction was going to be 13 issued by our town council, but I don't think so because from the very 14 beginning I have stressed that that permit was not granted because of 15 what I have told you many times. 16 And if the negotiations that took place during that time Q 17 that we're talking about had concluded to your satisfaction and the 18 satisfaction of the other leaders of the municipality, this same 19 construction permit in your words would not have been a problem even 20 though the facility had already been constructed; correct?

the future criteria or opinion or to really think what the people of

It would be difficult to on a personal level say or explain

21

- 1 Guadalcazar would say because I don't think it's in my hands to say
- 2 what decision would have been taken.
- 3 Q But if those negotiations had ended in an agreement where
- 4 the company would remediate, it would not have been a problem to give
- 5 them the construction permit, would it?
- 6 A I could not really think what the decision of the cabildo
- 7 would have been. This is something that I believe personally. But I
- 8 don't know what to tell you with regard of what would have been the
- 9 wishes of the cabildo and the society.
- 10 Q But it could have been granted, couldn't it?
- 11 A It could have been granted, that's a superstition,
- 12 hypothesis.
- 13 Q And, of course, a permit that would have been granted under
- 14 those circumstances would be valid under the law that you talked about
- 15 earlier, wouldn't it?
- 16 A If it would have been granted, yes.
- 17 MR. PEARCE: I'm about to enter a new area, Mr. President,
- 18 if it's your choice to break at this point.
- 19 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Yes. We'll break until 11:20.
- 20 [Recess.]
- 21 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: You have a new topic to turn to.
- MR. PEARCE: Yes, sir.

- 1 BY MR. PEARCE:
- 2 Q I'd like to direct your attention, sir, to your counter-
- 3 memorial testimony at page 3, paragraph 11. It's behind Tab 11.
- 4 That's the same page and paragraph in both English and Spanish. It's
- 5 Tab 11, counter-memorial, page 3, paragraph 11.
- I wish to direct your attention to the statement that you
- 7 make: "I can guarantee the Tribunal that almost total opposition to
- 8 the landfill facility exists." And you go on to question the results
- 9 of some evidence to the contrary; correct?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q And you refer, sir, to a poll by a group of local
- 12 economists in August of 1995 that shows that 97 percent of the people
- in the surrounding area favor the landfill's opening; right?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q And in that same poll, you cite, It showed that in the
- 16 large community 33 percent of the people were not informed on the
- 17 project, 38 percent favored the project, and 31 percent weren't even
- 18 interested; correct?
- 19 A That is correct.
- 20 Q So it appears from that poll, sir, that almost two-thirds
- 21 of the people in the larger community didn't know or didn't care,
- 22 doesn't it?

- 1 A That is correct.
- 2 You seem to also question, Mr. Ramos, a petition with 530
- 3 signatures from community residents who were in favor of the project;
- 4 right?
- 5 A That is correct, as the text indicates.
- 6 Q And it's your belief that those people were possibly misled
- 7 into signing the petition?
- 8 A I'd like to make a point here. This survey was addressed
- 9 only to individuals in the area where the hazardous waste landfill is
- 10 located, and these are individuals who were being employed by the
- 11 company. I, when I was the head of the municipality, understood the
- 12 facts, and I even suggested to these people that they conduct a
- 13 plebiscite in all the communities of this area, not simply in the
- 14 municipality. And that's why I've pointed out it's difficult for me to
- 15 believe that those signatures, all those signatures were really in
- 16 support of opening up the landfill. I really do not believe that.
- 17 Q It's not your position, is it, that all 530 people who
- 18 signed were employed at the landfill?
- 19 A No. The actual number of people were 79. However,
- 20 somebody hired by the company really represents the whole family with a
- 21 number of members in it. And interestingly enough -- [tape ends].

T2A 1 I'm sorry. I hadn't finished the question yet. By Q 2 professors at the University of San Luis Potosi that showed that 82 3 percent were in favor of the landfill project. Do you have any--is that correct, sir? 5 That's correct. Do you have any personal knowledge that the poll done by 6 7 the group of local economists was not done properly? 8 Α Yes, I believe so. 9 You have evidence that the poll was not conducted properly? What I would like to suggest and might give you as an 10 11 example is that we have a population of 27,000 people, and 500 12 signatures do not represent the adult or the active population of the 13 community. 14 I understand that, sir. I'm referring now not to the Q 15 petition with the 530 signatures. In fact, I'm referring to the poll 16 by the local economists that showed 97 percent of the people in favor 17 and asking if you have any knowledge that that poll was improperly 18 conducted.

19

20

21

MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

done within the area where the landfill is located and that these were

people employed by the landfill.

I thought it was done legally, but I repeat that it was

And the numbers that refer to the greater community, do you 1 Q 2 have any reason to question their legitimacy as not being properly 3 done? No, it was conducted normally. But my point is that it was Α done--it was conducted with people who worked, were employed by the 5 company, and not with everybody living in Guadalcazar, which massively 6 7 protested against the landfill. 8 Q Do you think, Mr. Ramos, that the people who live nearest the landfill are more likely to be impacted by it than those who live 9 10 in the city of Guadalcazar? 11 Yes, in the short run, they would be the most highly 12 impacted. 13 MR. PEARCE: Muchas gracias, senor. 14 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Mr. Perezcano, would you like to 15 come around and conduct your examination from this side? 16 MR. PEREZCANO: Yes, Your Honor. I need one minute. 17 [Pause.] 18 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Mr. Perezcano, just before you 19 begin, may I ask how you feel about interpretation? Since you will be 20 examining the witness in Spanish, presumably, could we have 21 simultaneous interpretation both of your questions and of his answers?

MR. PEREZCANO: Si, Senor Presidente.

1	[Pause.]
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. PEREZCANO:
4	Q Mr. Leonel, please refer to the minutes of the ayuntamiento
5	meeting held, let's see, in 1995 where the municipal license or permit
6	is denied. And if you don't have it, that is the document that Mr.
7	Pearce just a moment ago referred to.
8	A Yes.
9	Q Mr. Leonel, would you please read the name of each one of
10	the members of the cabildo that were present, and if you're kind
11	enough, please indicate on the Guadalcazar map that you have just
12	behind you approximately where each one of them lived.
13	THE INTERPRETER: If he's out of range of microphone, I
14	will not be able to hear him.
15	THE WITNESS: The members of the cabildo, while I chaired
16	it, Mr. President
17	THE INTERPRETER: Thank you, sir.
18	THE WITNESS: The members of the cabildo that I chaired are
19	the following, and where they live are the following, too:
20	Mr. Leonel Ramos, myself, I come from the community of
21	Abregon (ph) in Guadalcazar.

1 Professor Philipon (ph) Martinez came from the community of 2 Buena Vista. It's here. Guadalcazar is just located here, and here is 3 where Mr. Martinez lived, about 35 kilometers away from the municipal 4 part. 5 Third council member -- second council member was not present 6 at this meeting because of his work, because of his job. This 7 gentleman came from Chaco Blanco, and this is on Highway 57, about 21 8 kilometers from the municipality. 9 Third council member is Mr. Gonchao (ph), and he comes from 10 the community San Jose, agricultural (?) San Jose, but it's changed 11 here. It's right here. Here it says San Antonio. It is about just here. Some of the communities here are changed. This is wrong. The 12 13 information here is wrong. This gentleman lives about 21 kilometers 14 away. 15 Mr. Candelario (ph) Bosaras, the fourth councilman, is from 16 the community of Abregon, and he comes from opposition party member two 17 of the cabildo. 18 The fifth council member was Mr. Nicolas Alevo of the 19 community of Cosa de Santana, near La Pedrera. Insofar as distance 20 goes, he's the closest. He is linearly about 20 kilometers away from

La Pedrera and 45 minutes away from Guadalcazar municipality.

1 Then Mr. Alejandrino Sanchez Torres from the community of 2 Las Sanchas, and that community is about 8 kilometers from Guadalcazar. 3 Madam Maria Savilda Yesar (ph), first constitutional mayor, 4 comes from the community of Abregon where I come from. 5 Mr. Josiento (?) Nueva, second mayor, he comes from the 6 municipal area so -- I'd like to say something that I omitted, a detail. 7 Mr. Jimenahildo Limon (ph), he comes from La Ventana (ph), a community 8 of the northern part of the municipality which is about 75 or 80 9 kilometers away, more or less, somewhat farther away than where the 10 landfill of La Pedrera is located. 11 As you will see, they are making up the cabildo, and it's 12 made up of people belonging to different parties, some nominal, that 13 is, appointed, they were not elected by the people, but because of the 14 vote that they obtained during the elections, they were able to have a 15 representative before that cabildo. 16 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Mr. Ramos, could you kindly point 17 out to us where La Pedrera is, please, on that map? 18 THE WITNESS: La Pedrera is here, Guadalcazar is around 19 here [indicating]. 20 BY MR. PEREZCANO: 21 Thank you, Mr. Leonel. Could you tell me if you held

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

meetings with the commissioners during that period about La Pedrera?

1 Α Yes. We always were careful not to take any decisions, but 2 with the cabildo as it is, as a representative of the people, we made 3 public everything that had to do with La Pedrera. That is why we would call commissioners from the (?) and councilmen on the main 4 5 authorities from each one of the other communities so as to inform them 6 about what was happening with this regard, and not just for this but also for the different programs and projects of different works and 8 about support for agriculture and livestock activities. 9 Q Thank you, Mr. Leonel. The (?) commissioners, could you 10 please tell us where they would be located on the map? 11 They are length- and wide-wise in the entire territory. 12 There are 56 (?) . In total, we have 96 communities, from 56 to 96, 13 the small congregations and towns. (2) 14 Thank you, Mr. Leonel. Let me show you this document. 15 It's Exhibit 10 to the statement made by Mr. Zaragoza in the counter-16 memorial, and it's the order to close the landfill made by the 17 municipality or issued by the municipality. This is Exhibit 10 to the 18 statement made by Mr. Zaragoza in the counter-memorial. I'm sorry that 19 I haven't made any photocopies before. 20 Take your time, Mr. Leonel, to just review it.

21

[Pause.]

- 1 MR. PEREZCANO: Yes, sir. It's in the documents. It is an
- 2 exhibit or an annex, an attachment to Mr. Ramiro Zaragoza's statement.
- 3 It's dated October 26, 1994.
- 4 [Pause.]
- 5 BY MR. PEREZCANO:
- 6 Q I would ask you, Mr. Leonel, if you've finished reading it,
- 7 I underlined some parts of the first paragraph, and if you could please
- 8 read them for the Tribunal aloud.
- 9 A This is the beginning of some minutes, Guadalzacar, San
- 10 Luis Potosi at 12 o'clock, October 26, 1994, Manuel Castro Castanera
- 11 (ph), main councilman of Guadalcazar, San Luis Potosi, acting legally,
- 12 witnessed, La Pedrera--
- 13 THE INTERPRETER: We don't have this in the booth. It's
- 14 very difficult to follow this gentleman like this.
- 15 [Pause.]
- 16 THE INTERPRETER: Thank you.
- 17 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Mr. Ramos may continue, please.
- 18 [inaudible] begin his answer again.
- 19 BY MR. PEREZCANO:
- 20 I would ask you to please read again, to read the document
- 21 from the beginning.

- A Guadalcazar, San Luis Potosi, 12 hours on October 26, 1994,
- 2 Mr. Manuel Castro Castanera, sindico municipal of the municipality of
- 3 Guadalcazar, San Luis Potosi, acting before a number of witnesses here
- 4 present, arrived at the rural property called La Pedrera located
- 5 between the ajidos(?) of Losamales (ph) and El (?) of the same
- 6 municipality, site which there are highly contaminated industrial waste
- 7 landfill is pursuant to the mandate given by the Municipal President.
- 8 He declares to be truth the following materials, and it is before its
- 9 own site.
- 10 Q Please go, sir, to the last page or the one before last
- 11 where I underlined some other section. Please read it aloud.
- 12 A Based on Articles 2, 3, 4, 47, 31, 53, Section 2 of the ley
- 13 (?) of the municipal libre, 3 municipality act as well as Article 155
- 14 of the Constitution, we issue an order to close work of the works that
- 15 are being carried out. The construction shall remain in the same
- 16 status as of this time.
- 17 Q Thank you, Leonel. That is one of the measures taken by
- 18 the municipio, the municipality, because of a lack of a municipal
- 19 construction permit?
- 20 A Yes, that is true, and it was in the ayuntamiento in 1994.
- 21 MR. PEREZCANO: Thank you, Leonel. I do not have any more
- 22 questions, Mr. President.

1	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Just before Mr. Ramos leaves, in
2	the section which you've just been readingwhat's happened to that?
3	MR. PEARCE: She's coming down.
4	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Could you let Mr. Ramos have the
5	document he was reading, please?
6	THE INTERPRETER: Sir, could you give Mr. Ramos earphones
7	so that he can understand what you're telling him, please?
8	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Mr. Ramos, you have before you the
9	closure order which you have just been examining, and you have referred
10	to a passage directedyou were directed to a passage by Mr. Perezcano
11	in the middle of the second page.
12	Following the sentences that you read, there are two
13	sentences: "We advised the company that in case this decision is not
14	respected, there will be sanctions that are established in accordance
15	with the organic law of the free municipality, and in this case to the
16	final closing of said works, with the effect of making sure that the
17	present order is followed and complied, it will be up to the Popular
18	Ecological Commission, whose names are listed enclosed with this one.
19	Besides the people municipal parties, also present in this act, Dr.
20	Medellin, general ecological coordinator of the state government."
21	Now, Mr. Ramos, I would just like to ask you this: Is it
22	correct that work did not stop at La Pedrera?

1 THE WITNESS: What happens is that I do not understand 2 English. 3 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Mr. Ramos, I'm speaking in English. 4 Do you hear me now in Spanish? Why don't you put it on your ears 5 first? Try it on your ears first. The interpreter will, I'm sure, assist you by saying, "Testing, 1, 2, 3." Have you got that now in 6 7 Spanish? 8 Mr. Ramos, I hope we can now have a more satisfactory 9 relationship. You were reading from the order to stop work made on 28 10 11 October 1994. Mr. Perezcano directed you to a passage on the second 12 page of that order, which begins after the list of items which are to 13 be found at the site. You read two sentences in the middle of that 14 paragraph. Can you see the paragraph I'm referring to? 15 THE WITNESS: How does it start? 16 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: The paragraph begins with the 17 words, "On these, it is understood..." It is the paragraph which 18 begins--oh, my goodness--[In Spanish]. 19 : Thus pursuant to Articles 2, 2. MR. 20 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Can you find that, Mr. Ramos? The

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

: [In Spanish]

21

22

long paragraph in manuscript.

MR.

1	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Now, Mr. Ramos, please would you
2	look at the end of that paragraph where it says, "We advised the
3	company that in case this decision is not respected "it's at the
4	bottom of the last page but one, [In Spanish] . Do you see that? "We
5	advised the company that in case this decision is not respected, there
6	will be sanctions that are established in accordance with the organic
7	law of the free municipality, and in this case to the final closing of
8	the said works." Then it goes on: "With the effect of making sure
9	that the present order is followed and complied, it will be up to the
10	Popular Ecological Commission, whose names are listed enclosed with
11	this one." Then there follows a list of names at the end of the
12	document.
13	My question is this, Mr. Ramos: Did the Popular Ecological
14	Commission follow up the order and make sure it was complied with?
15	THE WITNESS: What happens is that this was done by the
16	ayuntamiento while I was not the President. This was in 1994. In
17	1994, the Municipal President was someone else and the cabildo was
18	someone else, and the municipal sindico two, it was the one before to
19	the one that was with me.
20	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: When did you become President?
21	THE WITNESS: January 1, 1995.

PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Then the situation was that there 1 was a period of 11 months or 12 months, nearly, under your presidency 2 during which the order was either complied with or not complied with. 3 Is that correct? You were in charge for 11 months in 1995. 4 5 THE WITNESS: That is true, sir. PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: When you were in charge, what 6 7 measures were taken to follow up the closure order? THE WITNESS: The period of 11 months, as I said in my 8 statement, was followed with a follow-up but not immediately, just when 9 10 the company requested again this construction permit. PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Supposing the company had not 11 repeated its request for a construction permit, does that mean there 12 would have been no follow-up? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, in fact, there has to be follow-up. I 14 repeat. When one begins to take office as Municipal President, there 15 are many problems, many projects at the outset. Therefore, there needs 16 to be follow-up on everything that was left pending and also follow-up 17 on the new work plan that we were bringing to the municipality. I 18 19 think that that's why that 11-month lapse occurred. 20 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: What is the relationship between 21 your position as President and the Popular Ecological Commission?

1	THE WITNESS: Well, they are people from the municipality
2	who I know, and the relationship, well, it's definitely been a good
3	relationship. This doesn't mean there were never any problems with
4	them, for they in their desire to defend the environment sometimes came
5	out against my administration, the one that I presided over at that
6	time, pointing out certain details, for example, calling for more
7	persistence in seeking a solution to the remediation problem and also
8	for following up on the problem of the landfill.
9	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Was there anything to stop the
10	Popular Ecological Commission from continuing with the work of
11	following up the closure order? In other words, while I entirely
12	understand your answer that you were busy because you had newly come
13	into office, what was there to stop the Popular Ecological Commission
14	from doing what they had been told to do?
15	THE WITNESS: Well, I believe that this is a very
16	particular decision on its part because at that time the act and the
17	municipal sindico has entrusted this problem to them. I believe that
18	it was their decision at that time and not our decision since we had
19	just come into office.
20	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT:that you're saying that it was
21	their decision and not yours. But can you offer any suggestion as to
22	why they should have decided to do nothing?

1 THE WITNESS: The truth is I don't know why. I don't know 2 why they did not take actions which--if it was these persons' function, it was the function of this Ecological Commission, I don't know, the 3 truth be told, why they didn't take these actions at that time. The 4 5 truth is I think it was their decision. 6 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Within the local administration of 7 Guadalcazar, of which you were the President, are there any permanent, 8 full-time officials whose term of office continues from one presidency 9 to another? 10 THE WITNESS: I believe not. I believe this is unusual in 11 city governments or in municipal governments. Prior to my 12 administration, there was that problem, which is that people would 13 persist in a given post for a long period of time. Nonetheless, when I 14 became President, one of the concerns in terms of thinking about 15 changes in key posts -- or one of these concerns was to do so because it 16 was thought that new people would bring in new ideas. They could be 17 people who were better prepared. 18 I think we did things in our own way. This is one of 19 those. I had to remove some people who had been in their posts for up 20 to 12 years. The truth is I came in and brought about a radical 21 change, and also as an example for future generations of city 22 government or municipal government.

1	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: What I'm not sure I understand from
2	you is your reference to a number of people being in their posts. Are
3	these people elected people who form part of your council, or are they
4	administrative personnel?
5	THE WITNESS: Administrative personnel for the council
6	members, and the members of the ayuntamiento are elected by the people,
7	by popular vote. The administrative posts or positions of trust, that
8	I believe is decided in due course by the cabildo or by the Municipal
9	President.
10	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT:time trying to clarify. Would
11	any of those long-term administrative officials have had any
<b>l</b> 2	responsibility for drawing to your attention the fact that work was
13	continuing at the site and that the Popular Ecological Commission was
14	doing nothing about it?
<b>L</b> 5	THE WITNESS: Reviewing the state, I don't believe that
<b>l</b> 6	there are any persons who continued or review the list, rather, I
17	don't believe there are any persons who continued in the following
18	administration. I see here the names of many people I know, but they
19	really didn't continue in their posts in the following administration
20	with us.
21	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT:looking at is a list of members
22	of the Popular Ecological Commission; is that correct?

1	THE WITNESS: Yes.
2	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: The people about whom I'm asking
3	you are not the members of the Popular Ecological Commission. Also,
4	they are not the members of your committee or commission. The people
5	that I'm asking about are administrative officials who sit in their
6	municipal offices all day, presumably. Were there any such officers?
7	THE WITNESS: It's curious to note that in our municipality
8	the employees are not unionized. Therefore, each new Municipal
9	President, taking stock of the needs, makes changes. This is why many
l0	people, even if secretaries, sometimes need to step down from their
11	post every three years.
12	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT:office, did you change all the
13	administrative personnel?
14	THE WITNESS: All of the administrative personnel. All in
15	their entirety.
16	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: that there was no administrative
17	continuity between the regime before yours and your own?
18	THE WITNESS: No, there was not. And referring to the
19	problem before us, the persons with responsibility for ensuring
20	continuity or follow-up on this problem were the Director of Public
21	Works, the cabildo or town council in plenum, who were people who in
22	the previous after the previous administration had to leave.

T2B

22

1 Now, administrative personnel, you had the Director of 2 Public Works who had to be removed, and another person, a different 3 person took that post. PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Thank you. 5 MR. PEREZCANO: Mr. Chairman, perhaps for purposes of 6 clarification, I'd like to ask the Tribunal permission to ask a 7 . question which might help clarify at this point. 8 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Yes. [Tape ends.] BY MR. PEREZCANO: 10 Don Leonel, do you know on what date the construction of 11 works at the landfill was concluded? 12 Α The landfill works were carried out in different stages, I 13 don't believe consecutively. When environmental audit was carried out, 14 it's mentioned at some point, it says that it was shut down, it was 15 closed. But even while this environmental audit was being carried out, 16 the people from the company were building. The site was closed down. 17 Personnel from the ayuntamiento inspected the place, and it was found 18 that works were being carried out within the property. 19 But do you know on what date the works concluded 20 definitively? 21 Well, no, that would be difficult, for after that, the

works continued within the property, and this, because we sent people

- 1 to review the scene. Indeed, periodically, we were looking in from
- 2 outside through binoculars because from the fence to where the work was
- 3 going on was guite some distance. Indeed, there was movement of
- 4 machinery. There were details suggesting that they continued
- 5 operating, working. Indeed, they never stopped working within the
- 6 facility.
- 7 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: --follow up your last answer, Mr.
- 8 Ramos. You said that--I'm just following up your last answer, Mr.
- 9 Ramos. You said that you sent people to review the scene and that they
- 10 used binoculars. Now, when did you do that?
- 11 THE WITNESS: That was done during the three-year period.
- 12 We took care through the person in charge, which was the Director of
- 13 Public Works, to check whether work was going on inside because this
- 14 problem was exclusively under the Director of Public Works, who was the
- 15 one who initiates a request or application for construction.
- 16 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: You said you did this during the
- 17 three years. My question ought to be, therefore, more specific. Did
- 18 any of this observation take place during the period from January to
- 19 December 1995?
- 20 THE WITNESS: On different occasions in different forums,
- 21 including when we were told the result of the audit, we pointed that
- 22 out, that having a permit or an audit, that this corresponded to the

- federal or state government, the famous environmental audit on
- 2 ecological factors. And in tandem, the construction work was going on.
- 3 So during the three years, it was being asked, Why is construction
- 4 going on when there's no construction permit?
- 5 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Forgive me for repeating the
- 6 question, because I want a very specific answer. During the period
- 7 from your assumption of office on 1 January 1995 until the order of--
- 8 the rejection of the application in December 1995, did any of this
- 9 observation take place?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Well, I believe so. If we didn't do so in
- 11 writing, I think we did so orally in different places we went to or
- 12 before different courts to specify that those works were continuing
- 13 works that never ceased on the inside.
- 14 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: The wording of your answer suggests
- 15 to me that I may not have made my question clear because the answer to
- 16 my question cannot involve whether written or oral observations were
- 17 made to any tribunal. My question simply arises out of the last answer
- 18 you gave to Mr. Perezcano when you said that you had sent the people to
- 19 look at the site and that they looked at it through binoculars. My
- 20 question is: When did those people go to the site and look at it
- 21 through binoculars? Did they do it during the first year of your
- 22 administration?

1 THE WITNESS: Yes, it was done periodically. I don't remember exactly when it began, but it's my understanding that we did this after the second permit was requested for building; that is the 4 time we were reminded of it. I think that from there we paid closer 5 attention, and this is why they went to inspect this site on a 6 continuous basis. 7 MR. : A point of clarification on the 8 translation. He mentioned that it was done periodically during the 9 first year and continuously. 10 MR. : I would like to point out that he said 11 that after the second request was done, they start reviewing and 12 checking the site. 13 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Well, I think we had better clarify 14 the matter with Mr. Ramos. 15 Mr. Ramos, my question to you was whether during your first 16 year of administration in 1995 any observation, visual observation, was 17 carried out at the site, and on your left and your right I'm getting 18 different answers from what the interpreter said. So, please, could 19 you repeat your answer and the interpreter alone will interpret it? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. It's difficult to remember, but it 21 is my understanding that in due course the problem was the landfill, 22 and it's my understanding that the Director of Public Works had to make

- 1 his observations, had to go to the site, if not specifically on that
- 2 mission, in passing while looking, checking on other public works. And
- 3 the truth is, I believe, that those observations were also made prior
- 4 to this date. And that could only be said in due course by the
- 5 Director of Public Works.
- 6 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: I think I understand you to be
- 7 suggesting, if, as you suggest, during the year 1995 the Director of
- 8 Public Works was examining the site and was discovering that work was
- 9 going on, should he have reported that to you?
- 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, the truth is that I had to be
- 11 informed. He had to inform me. He had to inform me in the meetings
- 12 that we held of the council, the meetings of the cabildo, and certain
- 13 other details.
- Now, I believe what you're asking me apart from this is why
- is it that in that 11-month period we didn't take any actions, and as I
- said earlier, it was the beginning of the year, perhaps we didn't take
- 17 note of it during that time period. But the truth is that as soon as
- 18 the opportunity arose, actions were taken, and as soon as there was a
- 19 claim or a request or petition once again from the company. And this
- 20 is why, I repeat, it was not until 11 months that we had to hold a
- 21 special meeting, and this happened after the company presented its

- l claim, which is to say, continued to persist in its request or
- 2 application for a building permit.
- 3 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Mr. Ramos, Guadalcazar is a
- 4 relatively small place; is that correct?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Well, it's the second largest municipality
- 6 after Santo Domingo, and the largest in area of the entire state.
- 7 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Is it the sort of place in which
- 8 you would meet the Director of Public Works from time to time, either
- 9 officially or casually?
- 10 THE WITNESS: No. In fact, we had our agreements, everyone
- in his or her own area, that we had to meet with one another. I
- 12 understand your question why in such a long period of time did we take
- 13 no action. I think that's where your question is directed, and I
- 14 repeat, because of the workload that we had and some other
- 15 considerations, this is why decisions were not made quickly.
- 16 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: I don't mean to bother you very
- 17 much longer, but the impression that your answer conveys is that the
- 18 problem of La Pedrera was not a problem of major importance.
- 19 Otherwise, something would have been done about it during those 11
- 20 months.
- 21 THE WITNESS: The truth is that all problems are important,
- 22 especially when heading up an administration. I think that

- 1 environmental affairs, the question of the landfill was very important.
- 2 But, also, at the same time, at the beginning of the year, one had to
- 3 organize and propose projects and works, seek resources, take steps
- 4 vis-a-vis the authorities, vis-a-vis the Congress, the President of the
- 5 Republic, the federal government, so as to obtain resources for
- 6 carrying out works. I believe that everything is aimed at a take-off
- 7 after change in administration.
- 8 Now, I understand that you ask why such a lengthy lapse of
- 9 time, sir. The truth is that when heading up a municipality there are
- 10 a great many things to do, and the truth is you are right in saying,
- 11 well, if this was already there previously, why was it not resolved. I
- 12 think that apart from the fact of us not wanting to do anything so
- 13 quickly at that time in the area of environmental affairs, we were
- 14 concerned about it, and evidence of this is that we continued after the
- 15 11 months and until the end of my administration dealing with this
- 16 problem, which was one of the major concerns of the citizenry of
- 17 Guadalcazar.
- 18 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: I won't ask you any more questions,
- 19 but Mr. Siqueiros would like to put a question to you.
- 20 ARBITRATOR SIQUEIROS: Mr. Ramos, you have told us that in
- 21 the first years of your administration, which began in January of 1995,
- 22 there were many priority issues that made it impossible to make a

- decision as to whether to grant a building permit at La Pedrera.
- 2 Nonetheless, on March 10th of that same year, an event that was of
- 3 importance beyond the municipality, I would say of state-level
- 4 importance or federal-level importance, which was the frustrated
- 5 inauguration of La Pedrera on March 10, 1995, which was already during
- 6 your period of government. There was this major popular demonstration
- 7 in opposition to the delegation that the company had organized for the
- 8 inaugural event. And you must necessarily have been involved in one
- 9 way or another, not just you but I think most of the members of the
- 10 cabildo and of the ayuntamiento.
- 11 So the logical question is: Why, after that very important
- 12 event, which you must necessarily have been aware of, why were the
- 13 appropriate actions not taken in one direction or the other by the
- 14 council? That is my doubt.
- 15 THE WITNESS: Well, I'd answer as follows: The actions
- 16 that were taken in this regard, in regard to the inauguration,
- 17 represented an initiative by the society of Guadalcazar, by the people
- 18 of Guadalcazar, and I would tell you as follows: I believe that the
- 19 building permit did not interfere with the actions being undertaken by
- 20 the company and by the society. This was a gesture by the company to
- 21 the presidency, so I would repeat: Perhaps it was not followed up--the
- 22 request or application was not followed up on at the outset of my

1	administration, and we went back to this. We took it up when the
2	people from the company reminded us and told us that we had applied for
3	a building permit before the previous municipal government, and we now
4	come to orally remind you that this application had been made. And
5	they were right, and they had the right to remind us.
6	And from there, we took actions. We dedicated ourselves
7	more to this matter, and that is when we culminated in that no, in that
8	refusal, and at the initiative of people, at the initiative of the
9	council, which was the highest level authority, I repeat, my
10	responsibility is to execute; those who authorize this and make the
11	decision is the cabildo, the municipal council, and with the support of
12	the population of Guadalcazar.
13	This is why in due course the only thing I would want to
14	tell you is that I don't know why it wasn't followed up on at the time.
15	The truth is I don't know why. It was followed up on as soon as the
16	company reminded us.
17	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Thank you, Mr. Ramos.
18	Now, Mr. Pearce, do you need to re-examine further?
19	MR. PEARCE: No.
20	PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Have you finished, Mr. Perezcano?
21	MR. PEREZCANO: [In Spanish.]

1 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Just one minute. We didn't get an 2 interpretation. Can the interpreters hear us? We can't hear the 3 interpreters. 4 [Pause.] THE INTERPRETER: Can you hear me now? Channel 2, yes. 6 Thank you, sir. 7 BY MR. PEREZCANO: Don Leonel, do you recall the demonstration of the 10th of 8 Q March of 1995 that Mr. Siqueiros made reference to? Do you recall that 9 at that date the construction of the landfill had already been 10 11 concluded? I understand that the majority of the facilities had 12 already been built because it was going to be -- there was going to be an 13 opening for their operation. I want to repeat that they continued 14 15 working, but I think that the basic thing, they already had that, and 16 afterwards they continued constructing, but I think that the basic part 17 was already built and the facility that almost was constructed in order 18 to be able to operate. 19 MR. PEREZCANO: That is all, Mr. President, on my behalf. 20 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Mr. Civiletti? 21 ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: During the time that you were

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

President, Mr. Ramos, what was done with the waste or refuse?

1	THE WITNESS: Curiously, our municipality is a municipality
2	that is relatively small. The activities of the municipality are
3	agricultural and livestock activities. It doesn't have any industry.
4	So, therefore, we did not have hazardous waste. The hazardous waste
5	occasionally come from the capital of the state or outside the state,
6	but we do not have industry in our area.
7	ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI:hazardous waste, I talk simply
8	about garbage or waste or refuse. Did you have landfills? Did you
9	have an incinerator? Did you have a dump? How was it handled?
10	THE WITNESS: The municipality has a municipal dump for
11	trash, and right now we have a better dump site because we have
12	sanitary landfill. It has been improved, but we do have this deposit.
13	ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: But you've neverother than La
14	Pedrera and questions about it, you've never had a hazardous waste
15	facility in Guadalcazar?
16	THE WITNESS: No, there has never been a landfill at
17	Guadalcazar aside from at that land.
18	ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: anything with used motor oil,
19	paint, batteries, acids, how is that disposed of in Guadalcazar?
20	THE WITNESS: The producers of this waste, it is in this
21	case mechanical shops, mechanic shops, they keep it; possibly they sell
22	it to the capital of the state.

1	ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: Let me ask a different question. I
2	think in your statement you indicated that at least during the time of
3	your presidency, the municipality had about 27,000 inhabitants; is that
4	correct?
5	THE WITNESS: That is correct.
6	ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: If you know or if you can
7	approximate, how many were adults over 18?
8	THE WITNESS: The active floating population approximately
9	is 10,000 individuals. These are floating individuals, that is to say,
10	not all of them are active within Guadalcazar. Many of them go to
11	Matawalos (ph), San Luis Potosi, to work in other companies.
12	ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: And would about 10,000 be the
13	approximate voting population of Guadalcazar?
14	THE WITNESS: I think that this is a difficult number
15	because this fluctuates according to the will of the people. What
16	should be the voting population? Well, more or less this is what it
17	represents, but I repeat that many people, when elections are held,
18	they are not within the municipality, and curiously, and I say actually
19	unfortunately, we live actually in the highlands of San Luis Potosi
20	where there is not really a great deal of employment. So many times
21	they have to go to work to larger cities, San Luis Potosi, Matawala,

1 some of them from (?) Nueva Leon. People who are actually then given support to -- economic support to the people of Guadalcazar. 2 ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: In the United States of America, out 3 of all the eligible voters, frequently less than one-third of those 5 eligible actually vote. It's a great shame. Could you tell me, during your term and during your election, approximately how many votes were 7 cast in your election in 1995? 8 THE WITNESS: We have an electoral pattern of -- we have more than 7,000 voters, and quite curiously, in the last elections, the 9 citizenry has participated a great deal, and we've had more than 80 10 percent of people who are voting. More than, I think, 6,000 votes 11 elected the different candidates. This does not only include the votes 12 that favored me, but there were other votes for other candidates at 13 that time. But as a whole, this is the number that it represents. 14 ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: In your statement filed in the case, 15 you refer from time to time to the approval of the people or the 16 consent of the people being necessary for the granting of the 17 construction permit and the operation of La Pedrera as a waste disposal 18 19 facility. I believe that's correct. 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, I think it is correct. I have made 21 reference to a landfill of industrial waste or hazardous waste

> MILLER REPORTING CO., INC. 507 C STREET, N.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20002 (202) 546-6666

landfill, and this is actually shown or evidenced by the analyses that

- have been carried out by the economist University of San Luis Potosi
  and the National Autonomous University of Mexico.

  ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: I take it that you and your other
- 4 council members also were familiar with Governor Sanchez's position,
- 5 which would be there would be no construction or operation or reopening
- of La Pedrera unless it was the will of the people; is that correct?
- 7 THE WITNESS: That is so. Personally I think that my
- 8 decision would not count if it would only be my decision here. What is
- 9 important is the negative attitude from the citizenry, and I think that
- 10 this is why we--it is that way that we get the support for that
- 11 decision. That's what makes that decision.
- 12 ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: Now, with regard to the consent of
- 13 the people or the approval of the people, what does the law indicate as
- 14 the method of determining that approval or consent?
- 15 THE WITNESS: The law in the municipality follows what is
- 16 provided by the ecological law of equilibrium of the state, the Mexican
- 17 Constitution of the republic and also of the state, aside from this,
- 18 with regard to percentages, the organic law of the municipality of the
- 19 state of San Luis Potosi. Each municipality has an organic law that
- 20 has the power, that is to say, to issue fines or taxes in order to be
- 21 able to receive resources or channel resources to the ayuntamiento.

1	ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: I meant if you are trying to
2	determine in order to grant or deny a permit whether the people approve
3	or disapprove of it, do you determine that by a survey? Do you
4	determine it by a plebiscite, by a referendum? How is it determined?
5	THE WITNESS: This is determined, well, by a recount. This
6	count or this survey is done observing there was never a referendum.
7	At least, the municipality never did it. But we do know the
8	population. We know the people. We know the communities. And, well,
9	we saw the interest in order not to giveor to deny that permit. I
10	want to repeat that this is the will of the people, and I think that it
11	is fair to respect the decision of the people.
12	ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: And speaking of the people, how many
13	of the people would it be necessary to determine that the people
14	approved or consented or it was that will? Is it a substantial
15	minority? Twenty percent? Does it require a majority or what?
16	THE WITNESS: The truth is that I would actually tell you
17	that 90 percent or 80 percent of the people of Guadalcazar were against
18	this project, against this landfill. I think that the will of the
19	majority makes the decision. Even though it would be different, I
20	think that every citizen in Guadalcazar has the same opportunity, they
21	enjoy the same rights. No one has more weight or carries more weight
22	than others because there is an equality of rights in our country.

Therefore, since they represented the majority, or even are being the 1 majority, I imagine that -- I think that this should be taken into 2 consideration. And I dare say that it represents 80 percent or 90 3 percent that rejects or does not accept this landfill. ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: If I understand your testimony 5 correctly, as opposed to the use of the landfill for waste, that there 6 was never any intention on your part or on the part of the council to 7 allow reopening for hazardous waste; is that correct? 8 THE WITNESS: That is so, and I would like to say 9 something. Personally, I opposed and am opposing. Personally, I would 10 not count my own opinion. I am opposing because the highest authority, 11 which is the cabildo, and also the consensus of the majority of the 12 population was asking this of me. I think that at a personal level if 13 I would say something different as to what has been indicated to me, 14 15 well, my decision would have not been taken into account. 16 ARBITRATOR CIVILETTI: Thank you very much, Senor Ramos. 17 PRESIDENT LAUTERPACHT: Could I ask Mr. Pearce whether he could guide us to any evidence on the side of Metalclad indicative of 18 when work stopped on the site? In other words, when was the 19

construction completed presumably in that period of the first months of

20

21

'95?