

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY
Washington, D.C..

The Faculty Senate

April 3, 2008

The Faculty Senate will meet on Friday, April 11, 2008 at 2:10 p.m. in Room 403 of the Marvin Center. **PLEASE NOTE THE CHANGE IN LOCATION FOR THIS MEETING.**

AGENDA

1. Call to order
2. Short recess for the purpose of having a group photograph taken of the 2007-08 Faculty Senate
3. Approval of the minutes of the regular meeting of March 14, 2008, as distributed (minutes to be distributed)
4. **A RESOLUTION CONCERNING SECONDARY AND COURTESY APPOINTMENTS FOR REGULAR, ACTIVE-STATUS FACULTY MEMBERS (07/6): Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom Committee**
5. Introduction of Resolutions
6. General Business
 - a) Nominations for election of nominees to the Faculty Senate Executive Committee for the 2008-09 Session, proposed by the Nominating Committee (nominees to be announced)
 - b) Nominees for election to the Dispute Resolution Committee for three-year terms commencing May 1, 2008: Professors Lowell Abrams, Kurt J. Darr, Donna L. Infeld and Leo C. Moersen; Nominee for Chair: Professor Kurt J. Darr, for a one-year term
 - c) Nomination for appointment by the President of Associate Professor Steve Charnovitz as Parliamentarian for the 2008-09 Session
 - d) Nominees for appointment by the President of the following faculty members to Administrative Committees: Marvin Center Governing Board: Professors Jacqueline Barnett, Stephen McGraw, Julie Ryan, and Valerie St. Pierre-Smith: Marvin Center Program Board: Professor Ozgur Ekmekci
 - e) Report of the Executive Committee: Lilien F. Robinson, Chair

- f) Annual Reports of Senate Standing Committees
- g) Chair's Remarks
- 7. Brief Statements (and Questions)
- 8. Adjournment

Elizabeth A. Amundson
Elizabeth A. Amundson
Secretary

This marked draft shows the changes made to Resolution 07/6 as originally introduced and tabled at the Faculty Senate's meeting on March 14, 2008

**A RESOLUTION CONCERNING SECONDARY AND COURTESY
APPOINTMENTS FOR REGULAR, ACTIVE-STATUS FACULTY MEMBERS
(07/6)**

WHEREAS, a small number of faculty members in the University hold joint appointments, and each joint appointment (i) provides the faculty member with a regular, active-status appointment in two or more schools or departments, and (ii) is separately budgeted in each school or department granting that appointment; and

WHEREAS, Article I.B.1. of the Faculty Code contemplates joint appointments, because the holder of a joint appointment receives a separate regular, active-status appointment from each participating school or department;

WHEREAS, certain schools and departments have also granted appointments that are not regular, active-status appointments to faculty members who hold regular, active-status appointments in other schools or departments within the University;

WHEREAS, such appointments are generally of two types – (i) appointments that confer specified governance privileges in the school or department granting the appointments (hereinafter referred to as “secondary appointments”), and (ii) appointments that do not confer any governance privileges in the school or department granting the appointments (hereinafter referred to as “courtesy appointments”);

WHEREAS, secondary and courtesy appointments can encourage and facilitate interdisciplinary collaboration among faculty members from different schools or departments; and

WHEREAS, the Faculty Code does not refer to secondary and courtesy appointments; and

WHEREAS, the Faculty Senate believes that it would be desirable to amend the Faculty Code to provide explicit authorization for secondary and courtesy appointments; and

WHEREAS, the Faculty Senate believes that a secondary or courtesy appointment should not automatically confer any of the rights to participate in faculty governance that are provided under the Faculty Code or the Faculty Organization Plan; and

WHEREAS, ~~but~~ the Faculty Senate believes that a secondary appointment ~~may properly~~ should confer one or more specified faculty governance privileges ~~if~~ but such privileges ~~are~~ should be approved by the regular, active-status faculty of the school or department granting that appointment; and

This marked draft shows the changes made to Resolution 07/6 as originally introduced and tabled at the Faculty Senate's meeting on March 14, 2008

WHEREAS, the Faculty Senate believes that a courtesy appointment should not confer any faculty governance privileges; and

WHEREAS, the Faculty Senate ~~understands~~ believes that the ~~current~~ terms, ~~and~~ conditions, and designations of existing secondary and courtesy appointments ~~would~~ should not be changed by the proposed amendment to the Faculty Code; ~~and the Faculty Senate has been advised that the Administration shares that understanding;~~ **NOW, THEREFORE**

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE FACULTY SENATE OF THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY:

That the Faculty Code be amended by adding the following new subsection at the end of Article I.B.:

- 6. Secondary and Courtesy Appointments:** A faculty member holding a regular, active-status appointment in one department or school may be granted a secondary or courtesy appointment in another department or school for a specified term. A secondary or courtesy appointment shall require the recommendation of the appropriate faculty and officers of administration of the unit granting that appointment and shall comply with rules and procedures for such appointments established by the unit granting that appointment and by the Vice President for Academic Affairs. A secondary ~~appointment~~ or a courtesy appointment is not a regular, active-status appointment and ~~shall~~ does not automatically confer any of the rights provided by the Faculty Code and the Faculty Organization Plan to participate in faculty governance in the unit granting that appointment. ~~However-~~Unlike a courtesy appointment, a ~~department or school granting~~ a secondary appointment ~~may~~ shall allow the faculty member ~~holding that appointment~~ to exercise one or more specified governance privileges in ~~that~~ the faculty unit granting the appointment ~~if those privilege have been approved by~~ but such privileges shall be approved by ~~the~~ that unit's regular, active-status faculty. A secondary or courtesy appointment terminates automatically upon the expiration of its specified term or upon termination of the faculty member's regular, active-status appointment. This paragraph does not affect the terms, conditions, and designations of secondary and courtesy appointments in existence as of May 1, 2008.

Faculty Senate Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom
March 27, 2008