



OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE DEPTAS SEEN

2900 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON, DC 20301-2900

INFO MEMO

APP 9 2003

I-03/001692-ISP

INTERNATIONAL SECURITY POLICY

all FOR:

Mr. Larry Di Rita

THRU:

David Trachtenberg

49

FROM:

Keith Payne

SUBJECT:

Secretary's Response to Rep. Tauscher before the HASC, 5 Feb.

- At Tab A is brief background paper regarding the Secretary's response to a question regarding an alleged \$1.26 billion dollar program to develop new smaller nuclear weapons.
 - My staff reviewed a broadcast of the hearing and concluded that the Secretary's response to Rep. Tauscher's question on small nuclear weapons was correct.
- At Tab B is the article, which served as a basis for Rep. Tauscher's question. The article claims that the Defense Department declined to comment on the article before the presses rolled on February 3.
 - This claim was based on an E-mail sent to our Public Affairs office late Friday evening (Jan 31) after the desk officer had gone home for the weekend.

Attachments:

A. Background Paper

B. LA Times article

6 February 2003

SRIVASSISTANT DI RITA

SRIVASSISTANT DI RITA

WASHINGTON

MA BUCCI

EXECSEC WHITMORE

12/19

Je DefWe will get book

To Silen Tauseher and

The Committee, but

here is more into on

here is more into on

Your exchange with

Cong. Tauseher about small w

Nukes.

A, Rito

U05241 /03

Larry Di Rite

TAB

A



Background Paper on The Secretary's Response to Rep. Tauscher's Question on New Small Nuclear Weapons House Armed Services Committee, 5 February

Background: (No hearing transcript available yet; only TV broadcast.)

- During the FY 04 budget hearing before the House Armed Services Committee, the Secretary was asked by Rep. Tauscher about what she alleged is a \$1.26-billion DoD program to build the next generation of small nuclear weapons.
- In reply, the Secretary said that he was not familiar with the article or the program that Rep. Tauscher described. She added that it was a DTRA program outlined in a recent *LA Times* article that was based on information posted on DTRA's web site.
- The Secretary said that he was 99% certain that there is no program to build small nuclear warheads, but that some research program might be mentioned in the classified portion of the Nuclear Posture Review.
- He added that he was not aware of any new nuclear weapon development, but he would get back to the Committee on the question. (AT&L should respond.)
 - The Secretary is correct. There is no new nuclear weapon development ongoing today. He did not imply a foreclosure of any future development.
- The Secretary went on to say that hard deeply buried targets were a very serious problem that needed attention.

Analysis:

- The basis for Rep. Tauscher's question was triggered by the Times article and DTRA's web site containing a contract solicitation for, *Weapons of Mass Destruction Defeat Technology*.
- The solicitation was aimed at an Advanced Concept Technology Demonstrations (ACTDs) to improve modeling capability for ground shock against deep underground targets using **conventional** and nuclear weapons.
- Modeling, Not Weapons
- Only a small portion of the proposed work is aimed at a nuclear ACTD. All the work is being carried out in response to the NPR, programmed under global strike.
- The maximum net amount to the overall **10-year** multi-source WMD defeat contract was \$1.26 billion.

